arXiv:1403.5256v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 20 Mar 2014

Bosonic Anomaly, Induced Fractional Quantum Number and Degenerate Zero Modes - the anomalous edge physics of Symmetry Protected Topological States

Juven C. Wang,[1,2,*] Luiz H. Santos,[2] and Xiao-Gang Wen[2,1,3]

[1] *Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*
[2] *Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON, N2L 2Y5, Canada*
[3] *Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China*

The world resides on the boundary of symmetry protected topological(SPT) states provide new quantum anomaly phenomena. In this work, we characterizes the bosonic anomalies introduced by the 1+1D non-onsite-symmetry gapless edge states of 2+1D bulk bosonic SPT with a generic finite Abelian group symmetry (isomorphic to $G=\prod_i Z_i = Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}\times\dots$). We demonstrate that some classes of SPT trap fractional quantum number (such as Z_N charge) at the 0D kink of the symmetry-breaking 1D domain walls. (This occurs at SPT class named as the Type II class: 3-cocycles in the $\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j)}$ class of the third Borel cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(G,\mathrm{U}(1))$.) While some classes of SPT have degenerate zero energy modes (carrying the projective representation of the symmetry), either at the 0D kink of the symmetry-breaking 1D domain walls, or on the dimensional-reduced symmetry-preserving 1D system with a monodromy defect line. (This only occurs at SPT class named as the Type III class: 3-cocycles in the $\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j,N_k)}$ class of the third cohomology group.) More generally, the energy spectrum and conformal dimensions of gapless edge states under an external gauge flux insertion (or twisted by a branch cut, i.e. monodromy defect) through the 1D ring can distinguish all classes of Type I ($\mathbb{Z}_{N_i}$), Type II ($\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j)}$), Type III ($\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j,N_k)}$) classes respectively. In particular, we construct (i) quantum lattice model, (ii) Matrix Product Operators formalism and (iii) field theory for these bosonic SPT edge states, and then use these tools to characterizes/classify SPT states. We also formulate Goldstone-Wilczek formula for some bosonic anomalies.

Contents

I. Introduction 2

II. Group Cohomology and Cocycles 3

III. Type I, II: p_1, p_2, p_{12}-th class in $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}$ symmetry 4
A. Lattice model 5
1. symmetry transformations 5
2. lattice Hamiltonians 7
B. Matrix Product Operators and Cocycles 7
C. Field Theory 8

IV. Type III p_{123}-th class in $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}$ symmetry 9
A. Lattice Model 9
1. symmetry transformations 9
2. lattice Hamiltonians 10
B. Matrix Product Operators and Cocycles 10
C. Field Theory 10

V. Fractional Quantum Number trapped at the Domain Walls - Type II Bosonic Anomaly 11
A. Field theory approach: fractional Z_N charge trapped at the kink of Z_N symmetry-breaking Domain Walls 12
B. Goldstone-Wilczek formula and Fractional Quantum Number 12
C. Lattice approach: Projective phase observed at Domain Walls 13

VI. Degenerate zero energy modes (projective representation) - Type III Bosonic Anomaly 14
A. Field theory approach: Degenerate zero energy modes trapped at the kink of Z_N symmetry-breaking Domain Walls 14
B. Cocycle approach: Degenerate zero energy modes from Z_N symmetry-preserving monodromy defect (branch cut) - dimensional reduction from 2D to 1D 15

VII. Flux insertion and non-dynamical "gauging" the non-onsite symmetry - Type I, II, III class observables 17

VIII. Summary 18

Acknowledgements 20

A. Matrix Product Operators and Lattice Regularization 20
1. Type I class- 20
2. Type II class- 21
3. Type III class- 23

B. Twisted Hamiltonian and Twisted Non-Onsite Symmetry Transformation 26

References 27

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been rapid developments on exploring the entangled quantum states with gapless edge states protected by some global symmetry.[1,2] The classic example is the 1D Haldane spin-1 chain with SO(3) spin rotational symmetry.[3,4] Another renown examples are topological insulators, which are protected by fermion number conservation U(1) symmetry and time reversal symmetry Z_2^T.[5–10] The examples appear in topological insulators may be achieved by a non-interacting free fermion system, picture, while there are so-called the bosonic symmetry protected topological(SPT) states, which can only happen in a strongly-interacting bosonic system. On the experimental side, it has not yet been transparent how to realize bosonic SPT in a realistic condensed matter material setting. However, one can still wish that studying the physical properties of those bosonic SPT states theoretically and numerically may eventually fertilize our understanding to achieve the goal. On the theoretical side, several approaches have been developed to understand bosonic SPT states, such as using group cohomology,[1,2,11] lattice model,[12–15] matrix product states,[13,15] field theory techniques,[15–19] or projective construction,[20,21] etc. Nonetheless, it will still be tremendous important to see the connections among miscellaneous theoretical approaches by working out a few specific examples. One of the goals of this paper is addressing this issue. We will study the 2+1D(two dimensional space and one dimensional time, or simply 2D) bosonic SPT with 1+1D gapless edge states on the boundary, protected by a global symmetry G of a generic cyclic group $G = \prod_i Z_{N_i} = Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3} \times \dots$ (to which any finite Abelian group is isomorphic). We shall focus on addressing the properties of its 1+1D edge states and their physics in this article, see Fig.1. We will achieve our understanding in three different approaches, (i) quantum lattice model, (ii) matrix product states, and (iii) quantum field theory; and connect them to cocycles of group cohomology.

To this end, we should also motivate what has been learned in the literature about SPT. An important feature is that the global symmetry of SPT edge states is realized in a non-onsite manner.[13–15] For a given symmetry group G, the non-onsite symmetry means that its symmetry transformation cannot be written as a tensor product form on each site,[1,13]

$$U(g)_{\text{non-onsite}} \neq \otimes_i U_i(g), \tag{1}$$

for $g \in G$. On the other hand, the onsite symmetry transformation $U(g)$ can be written in a tensor product form acting on each site i,[1,13] i.e. $U(g)_{\text{onsite}} = \otimes_i U_i(g)$, for $g \in G$. (The symmetry transformation acts as an operator $U(g)$, with $g \in G$ of the symmetry group, transforms the state $|v\rangle$ globally, by $U(g)|v\rangle$.) Therefore, to study the SPT edge state, one should realize how the non-onsite symmetry acts on the boundary as in Fig.1.



FIG. 1: The boundary of 2D SPT state harbors 1D gapless edge states if the global symmetry is preserved (not broken spontaneously or explicitly). The essence of the global symmetry of 1D edge state is that the symmetry transformation S is acting in a non-onsite manner, where S cannot be written as a tensor product form on each site. (The symmetry operation of S acts on more than a single site for its tensor operators, where we show schematically S acts on two neighbor sites.)

Two issues

With that goal in mind, There are at least two issues we would like to address. First,

1. *For a $d+1$ dimensional spacetime, given a symmetry group G, whether there is an effective procedure or algorithm, to construct the edge's discrete lattice Hamiltonian and continuous field theory by realizing possible non-onsite symmetry transformations of G?*

If this is true, this approach provides another way to realize the edge states without borrowing the formal information of group cohomology.[1,22] In a sense, by counting different ways of G-symmetry realization, we can effectively count the number of distinct SPT states in the classification. Therefore, this approach provides a method realizing SPT classification, but offers quite independent construction from the formal group cohomology approach.

Second, another question we also like to answer is that:

2. *Given a symmetry group G of a $d+1$ dimensional ($d+1D$) SPT state, with a derived cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}(G, U(1))$ and its corresponding $d+1$-cocycles, whether we can find the edge lattice Hamiltonians, the edge symmetry transformations and the field theory of its dD boundary corresponding to the $d+1$-cocycles? Alternatively, whether we can use this group cohomology information to construct the edge lattice Hamiltonians, the edge symmetry transformations and the field theory of its dD boundary?*

We will answer the above two questions affirmatively for $d = 2$, the $2 + 1$D SPT states with $1 + 1$D boundary with a finite Abelian symmetry group G. (While there has been studied in Ref.1 on the correspondence between the 3-cocycles of group cohomology and a derived lattice Hamiltonian in the bulk, it unfortunately involves with more unfamiliar/complicated multi-spin interactions. And there are some refined results for bosons and fermions in Ref 12,23, but the lattice construction are limited to the simplest Z_2 symmetry SPT. There are some important ideas in Ref.14 where the Z_N and U(1) symmetry SPT are consider as rotor models, but the models there are not fully regularized on the lattice. In this work, we will develop our fully-regularized lattice constructions extending our earlier work in Ref.15.) We confirm both issues that for the case of $2 + 1$D SPT states with $1+1$D edge, we can achieve this construction by using a variant form of 1+1D quantum rotor models (or a close relative of non-chiral Luttinger liquids) for the lattice Hamiltonian, and 1+1D chiral boson theory (living on the boundaries of a 2+1D bulk K-matrix Chern-Simons theory) for the continuum field theory.[16,18]

Bosonic Anomalies realized on the SPT edge

It has been emphasized that the edge states of SPT are the source of gauge anomalies, while that of intrinsic topological orders are the source of gravitational anomalies.[24] One can appreciate this guideline in two complementary ways: (i) one can study new quantum anomaly phenomena by understanding the edge states of SPT, (ii) one can characterizes/classifies the properties of edge states of SPT by detecting its corresponding quantum anomalies. We will adopt these two complementary ways of thinking to understand both the bosonic SPT and its anomalies. We shall now address the meaning of our anomaly - the edge states with non-onsite-symmetry living on one boundary can leak certain quantum number (such as Z_N charge) to the bulk or other boundaries. Thus, this anomalous effect detects the existence of a higher-dimensional bulk. SPT edge states are known to show at least one of three properties:

- (1) symmetry-preserving gapless edge states,
- (2) symmetry-breaking gapped edge,
- (3) symmetry-preserving gapped edge with surface topological order.[17,25–28]

In this work, we focus on a generic finite Abelian symmetry group, isomorphic to $G = \prod_i Z_{N_i}$, and focus on *what is anomalous* about the edge under the case of (1), (2) above. We show a type of physical observables for case (1) is that under symmetry-preserving external flux insertion through a compact 1D ring of edge states keeping them gapless, but the energy spectrum shifts. We consider two kinds of bosonic anomalies for case (2): the first is that the edge is gapped under Z_{N_1} symmetry-breaking domain walls, where a consequent anomalous effect is the induced **fractional Z_{N_2} charge** (of another symmetry) trapped near 0D kink of domain walls. The second kind of anomaly is that the edge is gapped under Z_{N_1} symmetry-breaking domain walls, with a consequent anomalous effect as **N_{123}-fold degenerate zero energy ground states** due to the projective representation of other symmetries of $Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3}$.

In Sec.II, we start with some basic results in group cohomology and its n-cocycles. The reader who are not familiar with group cohomology may either take the chance to learn the basics, or skip it then proceed to Sec.III. (The introduction in Sec.II are self-contained for basic ingredients of group cohomology, but our presentation of the remained section are very readable without the knowledge of group cohomology.) In Sec.III, we review the Type I 3-cocycles lattice construction, its matrix product operators and its low energy field theory,[15] and then extend our construction to the Type II 3-cocycles. In Sec.IV, we extend further to the Type III 3-cocycles lattice construction, its matrix product operators and its low energy field theory. Remarkably, the Type III non-onsite symmetry transformation is distinct from the Type I, Type II; it introduces a new quantum number, a different charge vector coupling Q. In Sec.V and VI, we study the physical observables for bosonic anomalies of these SPT - induced fractional quantum number and degenerate zero energy modes. We also apply field-theoretic Goldstone-Wilczek method.[29] In Sec.VII, we work on the *twisted sector* - the effect of gauge flux insertion through 1D ring effectively captured by using a branch cut or so-called monodromy defect[30] modifying the original Hamiltonian.[15] The *twisted non-onsite symmetry transformation* and *twisted lattice Hamiltonians* are studied.

Our results are summarized in Table I, II, III.

(NOTE: Our notation for finite cyclic group is either Z_N or $\mathbb{Z}_N$, though mathematically they are the same. We denote Z_N for the symmetry group G, the discrete gauge Z_N flux, or the Z_N variables. We denote $\mathbb{Z}_N$ only for the classes of SPT classification. In addition, we denote $n + 1$D as n dimensional space and 1 dimensional time, and denote nD as n dimensional space. We also denote $\gcd(N_i, N_j) \equiv N_{ij}$ and $\gcd(N_i, N_j, N_l) \equiv N_{ijk}$ with gcd stands for the greatest common divisor.)

II. GROUP COHOMOLOGY AND COCYCLES

In this section, we will gather the information known and predicted by the group cohomology approach.[1] We will see how these knowledge helping us to construct SPT states In later sections using (i) quantum lattice model, (ii) matrix product states, and (iii) quantum field theory. First, it has been predicted that the $d+1$-D bosonic SPT states can be classified by a mathematical object: the $(d + 1)$-th Borel cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}(G, \mathrm{U}(1))$ of G over G-module U(1).[1,22] The SPT classification itself as $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}(G, \mathrm{U}(1))$ also forms a group structure. Throughout the paper, we study a generic cyclic group

$G = \prod_{i=1}^{m} Z_{N_i} = Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3} \times \dots$. It is a generic enough in the sense that any finite Abelian group is isomorphic to such a finite cyclic group G. We can thus compute its third cohomology group(see also Ref.1,31),

$$\mathcal{H}^3(G, \mathrm{U}(1)) = \prod_{1\le i<j<l\le m} \mathbb{Z}_{N_i} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j)} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j,N_l)}.$$

Here $\gcd(N_i, N_j, \dots)$ stands for the greatest common divisor among the numbers $(N_i, N_j, \dots)$. For simplicity, we may write $\gcd(N_i, N_j) \equiv N_{ij}$ and $\gcd(N_i, N_j, N_l) \equiv N_{ijl}$. This cohomology group predicts that there are $\mathbb{Z}_{N_i} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j)} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j,N_l)}$ distinct classes for SPT states. One can find explicit 3-cocycles, such that each distinct 3-cocycles labels the distinct classes in SPT states. (More generally, $(n+1)$-cocycles for $(n+1)$-th cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}(G, \mathrm{U}(1))$.) The n-cochain is a mapping $\omega(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$: $G^n \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ (which inputs $A_i \in G$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and outputs a U(1) phase). The n-cochains satisfy the group multiplication rules:

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \omega_1(A_1, \dots, A_n) \cdot \omega_2(A_1, \dots, A_n), \tag{2}$$

thus form an Abelian group. The n-cocycles is a n-cochain additionally satisfying the n-cocycle-conditions $\delta\omega = 1$. The 3-cocycle-condition (a pentagon relation) is

$$\delta\omega(A, B, C, D) = \frac{\omega(B, C, D)\omega(A, BC, D)\omega(A, B, C)}{\omega(AB, C, D)\omega(A, B, CD)} = 1 \tag{3}$$

with $A, B, C, D \in G$. One should also check the distinct 3-cocycles are not equivalent by 3-coboundaries. i.e. Any $\omega_1(A, B, C)$ is equivalent to $\omega_2(A, B, C)$ if they are identified by a 3-coboundaries $\delta\Omega(A, B, C)$.

$$\frac{\omega_1(A, B, C)}{\omega_2(A, B, C)} = \delta\Omega(A, B, C) = \frac{\Omega(B, C)\Omega(A, BC)}{\Omega(AB, C)\Omega(A, B)} \tag{4}$$

with some 2-cochain $\Omega(B, C)$. The 3-cochain forms a group C^3, the 3-cochain satisfies the 3-cocycle conditions Eq.(3) further forms a subgroup Z^3, and the 3-coboundaries satisfies Eq.(4) further forms a subgroup B^3 (since $\delta^2\Omega(A, B, C) = 1$). Overall

$$B^3 \subset Z^3 \subset C^3 \tag{5}$$

The third cohomology group is exactly a kernel Z^3 (the group of 3-cocycles) mod out image B^3 (the group of 3-coboundary) relation:

$$\mathcal{H}^3(G, \mathrm{U}(1)) = Z^3/B^3. \tag{6}$$

For any cyclic groups G, we can derive the distinct 3-cocycles satisfying Eq.(3) (but not identified as 3-coboundary by Eq.(4)):

$$\omega_{\mathrm{I}}^{(i)}(A, B, C) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} p_i}{N_i^2}\, a_i(b_i + c_i - [b_i + c_i])\right) \tag{7}$$

$$\omega_{\mathrm{II}}^{(ij)}(A, B, C) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} p_{ij}}{N_i N_j}\, a_i(b_j + c_j - [b_j + c_j])\right) \tag{8}$$

$$\omega_{\mathrm{III}}^{(ijl)}(A, B, C) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} p_{ijl}}{\gcd(N_i, N_j, N_l)}\, a_i b_j c_l\right), \tag{9}$$

so-called Type I, Type II, Type III 3-cocycles[31] respectively. Here $A, B, C \in G$. We denote that $A = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$, where $a_i \in Z_{N_i}$, and similarly for B, C. And $[b_i + c_i]$ are defined as the $(b_i + c_i) \mathrm{mod} N_i$, the module elements in Z_{N_i}. In Table I, we summarize some data of group cohomology and their corresponding realization as SPT by using (i) quantum lattice model, (ii) matrix product states, and (iii) quantum field theory approach. In Sec.III,IV, we will demonstrate their explicit construction for Type I, Type II, Type III 3-cocycles.

3-cocycle	min. symm. group G	$\mathcal{H}^3(G, \mathrm{U}(1))$	lattice model's S; H	MPO's S	field theory's S
Type I p_1: Eq.(7)	Z_N	$\mathbb{Z}_N$	Eq.(18); Eq.(33)	Eq.(A7)	Eq.(42),(43)
Type II p_{12}: Eq.(8)	$Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_{12}}$	Eq.(19),(21); Eq.(33)	Eq.(A17)	Eq.(42),(43)
Type III p_{123}: Eq.(9)	$Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3}$	$\prod_{1\le i<j\le 3} \mathbb{Z}_{N_i} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_{ij}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_{123}}$	Eq.(51); Eq.(55)	Eq.(51)	Eq.(63)

TABLE I: Given a generic finite Abelian global symmetry group (isomorphic to a cyclic group $G = \prod_{i=1}^{m} Z_{N_i} = Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3} \times \dots$), here we provide the data of group cohomology and their corresponding realization as symmetry protected topological (SPT) states by using (i) quantum lattice model, (ii) matrix product operators(MPO), and (iii) quantum field theory approach. The classification labels p_1, p_{12}, p_{ijk} belong to the Type I $\mathbb{Z}_N$ class, Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{N_{12}}$ ($\equiv \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$) class, Type III $\mathbb{Z}_{N_{123}}$ ($\equiv \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_i,N_j,N_k)}$) class (all labeled in blue color in the table) respectively.

III. TYPE I, II: p_1, p_2, p_{12}-TH CLASS IN $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$ SYMMETRY

We will now go further to consider the edge states of lattice Hamiltonian with $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$ symmetry. It is apparent that there are still SPT of the type as the Type I 3-cocycles studied in our previous work,[15]



FIG. 2: The illustration of 1D lattice model with M-sites on a compact ring.

which are the class of $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}$ and $p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_2}$ in $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}, \mathrm{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_2}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$. What we are more interested in is the new class where Z_{N_1} and Z_{N_2} rotor models *talk to each other*. This will be the Type II class $p_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$, where symmetry transformation of Z_{N_1} global symmetry will affect the Z_{N_2} rotor models, while similarly Z_{N_2} global symmetry will affect the Z_{N_1} rotor models. This is the mixed type of Type II new class $p_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$.

We would like to realize a discrete lattice model and a continuum field theory, both required corresponding to the Type I, Type II 3-cocycle:

$$\omega_{\rm I}^{(i)}(A,B,C) \;=\; \exp\Big(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} p_{\rm I}^{(i)}}{N_i^2}\, a_i(b_i+c_i-[b_i+c_i])\Big), \quad (10)$$

$$\omega_{\rm II}^{(ij)}(A,B,C) \;=\; \exp\Big(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} p_{\rm II}^{(ij)}}{N_i N_j}\, a_i(b_j+c_j-[b_j+c_j])\Big), \quad (11)$$

to capture the essence of these classes of SPT states.

A. Lattice model

To construct a lattice model with $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}$ symmetry, we require the minimal ingredients: (i) Z_{N_1} operators (with Z_{N_1} variables) and Z_{N_2} operators (with Z_{N_2} variables). (ii) Hilbert space (the state-space where Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2} operators act on) consists with Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2} variables-state. We can naturally choose the Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2} variable $\omega_1 \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\,2\pi/N_1}$, $\omega_2 \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\,2\pi/N_2}$, such that $\omega_1^{N_1} = \omega_2^{N_2} = 1$. The natural physical states on a single site are the Z_{N_1} rotor angle state $|\phi_1 = 0\rangle, |\phi_1 = 2\pi/N_1\rangle, \ldots, |\phi_1 = 2\pi(N_1-1)/N_1\rangle$, here ϕ_1 are the rotor angle phase with $\phi_1 = \phi_1 \bmod 2\pi$, similarly for Z_{N_2} rotors. The linear combination of all $|\phi_1\rangle\,|\phi_2\rangle$ states form a complete $N_1\times N_2$-dimensional Hilbert space on a single site.

We again consider the case of 1D lattice with M sites, with the dimension of the Hilbert space is $(N_1\times N_2)^M$. (In the below discussion, if we did not specify the site index, we are considering a single site.)

One can find a dual state of rotor angle state $|\phi_1\rangle$, the angular momentum $|L_1\rangle$, such that the basis from $|\phi_1\rangle$ can transform to $|L_1\rangle$ via the Fourier transformation, $|\phi_1\rangle = \sum_{L_1=0}^{N_1-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_1}} e^{\mathrm{i}L_1\phi}|L_1\rangle$. Same for $|\phi_2\rangle$, $|L_2\rangle$ states. One can find two proper operators $\sigma^{(1)}, \tau^{(1)}$ which make $|\phi_1\rangle$ and $|L_1\rangle$ their own eigenstates respectively. The above relations are the same for Z_{N_2} variables. With a site index j ($j = 1, ..., M$), we can project $\sigma_j^{(1)}, \tau_j^{(1)}$ (or $\sigma_j^{(2)}, \tau_j^{(2)}$) operators into the rotor angle $|\phi_{1,j}\rangle$ (or $|\phi_{2,j}\rangle$) basis, so we can derive $\sigma_j^{(1)}, \tau_j^{(1)}$ operators as $N_1\times N_1$ matrices, and similarly $\sigma_j^{(2)}, \tau_j^{(2)}$ as $N_2\times N_2$ matrices. Their forms are (with $u = 1, 2$ for Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2} symmetry):

$$\sigma_j^{(u)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_u^{N_u-1} \end{pmatrix}_j = \langle\phi_{u,j}|e^{\mathrm{i}\hat{\phi}_j^{(u)}}|\phi_{u,j}\rangle \quad (12)$$

$$\tau_j^{(u)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_j = \langle\phi_{u,j}|e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi\hat{L}_j^{(u)}/N}|\phi_{u,j}\rangle, \quad (13)$$

Operators and variables satisfy the analogue property mentioned in Ref.15, such as $(\tau^{(1)})_j^{N_1} = (\sigma^{(1)})_j^{N_1} = (\tau^{(2)})_j^{N_2} = (\sigma^{(2)})_j^{N_2} = \mathbb{1}$, $\tau_j^{(1)\dagger}\,\sigma_j^{(1)}\,\tau_j^{(1)} = \omega_1\,\sigma_j^{(1)}$ and $\tau_j^{(2)\dagger}\,\sigma_j^{(2)}\,\tau_j^{(2)} = \omega_2\,\sigma_j^{(2)}$. It also enforces the canonical conjugation relation on $\hat{\phi}^{(u)}$ and $\hat{L}^{(u)}$ operators, i.e. $[\hat{\phi}_j^{(u)}, \hat{L}_l^{(v)}] = \mathrm{i}\,\delta_{(j,l)}\delta_{(u,v)}$ with the symmetry group index $u, v \in \{1, 2\}$ and the site indices j, l. Here $|\phi\rangle$ and $|L\rangle$ are eigenstates of $\hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{L}$ operators respectively.

We should mention the $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}$ state has a $N_1\times N_2$ dimension, acted by a size of $(N_1\times N_2)\times(N_1\times N_2)$-component matrix operator. For example,

$$\sigma_j^{(1)}\otimes\sigma_j^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_1^{N_1-1} \end{pmatrix}_j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2^{N_2-1} \end{pmatrix}_j \quad (14)$$

1. *symmetry transformations*

Type I Z_N symmetry transformations

Below we warm up with a generic Z_N lattice model realizing the SPT edge states on a 1D ring with M sites. It has been emphasized in Ref.1,14 that the SPT edge states has a special non-onsite symmetry transformation, which means that its symmetry transformation cannot be written as a tensor product form on each site, thus $U(g)_{\text{non-onsite}} \neq \otimes_i U_i(g)$. In general, the symmetry transformation contain a onsite part and another non-onsite

part. We imagine the trivial class of SPT (trivial bulk insulator with unprotected edge states) can be achieved by a simple Ising Hamiltonian as $-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{M}(\tau_j+\tau_j^\dagger)$. (Notice that for the simplest Z_2 symmetry, the τ_j operator reduces to a spin operator $(\sigma_z)_j$.) The simple way to find an onsite operator which this Hamiltonian respects and which acts at each site is the $\prod_{j=1}^{M}\tau_j$, a series of τ_j. On the other hand, to capture the non-onsite symmetry transformation, we can use a domain wall variable in Ref.15, where the symmetry transformation contains information stores non-locally between different sites (here we will use the minimum construction - the symmetry transformation contains information stores non-locally between *two nearest neighbored sites*). Based on the understanding of previous works,[12,14,15] we propose this non-onsite symmetry transformation $U_{j,j+1}$ with a domain wall $(N_{\rm DW})_{j,j+1}$ operator acting non-locally on site j and $j+1$ as,

$$U_{j,j+1} \equiv \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\frac{p}{N}[\frac{2\pi}{N}(\delta N_{\rm DW})_{j,j+1}\right) \equiv \exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p}{N}(\phi_{1,j+1}-\phi_{1,j})_r], \tag{15}$$

The domain wall operator $(\delta N_{\rm DW})_{j,j+1}$ counts the number of units of Z_N angle between sites j and $j+1$, so indeed $(2\pi/N)(\delta N_{\rm DW})_{j,j+1}= (\phi_{1,j+1}-\phi_{1,j})_r$. The subindex r means that we need to further *regularize the variable to a discrete Z_N angle*. Here we insert a p index, which is just an available free index with $p=p \bmod N$, we will later reveal that it is indeed the classification index for the p-th of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ class in the third cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_N,\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}_N$.

Now the question is how should we fully regularize this $U_{j,j+1}$ operator into terms of Z_N operators $\sigma_j^\dagger$ and σ_{j+1}. We see the fact that the N-th power of $U_{j,j+1}$ renders a constraint

$$U_{j,j+1}^N = (\exp[\mathrm{i}\phi_{1,j}]^\dagger \exp[\mathrm{i}\phi_{1,j+1}])^p = (\sigma_j^\dagger\sigma_{j+1})^p. \tag{16}$$

(Since $\exp[\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{1,j}]_{ab}=\langle\phi_a|e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_j}|\phi_b\rangle=\sigma_{ab,j}$.) More explicitly, we can write it as a polynomial ansatz $U_{j,j+1}=\exp[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{N}\sum_{a=0}^{N-1} q_a\,(\sigma_j^\dagger\sigma_{j+1})^a]$. The non-onsite symmetry operator $U_{j,j+1}$ reduces to a problem of solving polynomial coefficients q_a by the constraint Eq.(16). Indeed we can solve the constraint explicitly, thus the symmetry transformation operator acting on a M-site ring from $j=1,\ldots,M$ is derived, as

$$\bullet\ S_N^{(p)} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{M}\tau_j\prod_{j=1}^{M}U_{j,j+1}(\sigma_j^\dagger\sigma_{j+1}) \tag{17}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{M}\tau_j\prod_{j=1}^{M} e^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{N^2}p\left\{(\frac{N-1}{2})\mathbb{1}+\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\frac{(\sigma_j^\dagger\sigma_{j+1})^a}{(\omega^a-1)}\right\}} \tag{18}$$

The operator is unitary, i.e. $S_N^{(p)}S_N^{(p)\dagger}=1$. Here $\sigma_{M+1}\equiv\sigma_1$. The explicit solutions of the symmetry transformation are found for all $p\in\mathbb{Z}_N$.

Type I, II $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}$ symmetry transformations

For a $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}$-symmetry SPT states on a lattice model, we propose the Z_{N_1} symmetry transformation to be:

$$\bullet\ S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{M}\tau_j^{(1)}\prod_{j=1}^{M}U_{j,j+1}^{(N_1,p_1)}\prod_{j=1}^{M}U_{j,j+2}^{(N_1,p_{12})} \tag{19}$$

$$\equiv \prod_j e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi L_j^{(1)}/N_1}\cdot\exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p_1}{N_1}(\phi_{1,j+1}-\phi_{1,j})_r]$$

$$\cdot\exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p_{12}}{N_1}(\tilde\phi_{2,j+2}-\tilde\phi_{2,j})_r]. \tag{20}$$

and the Z_{N_2} symmetry transformation to be (switching Eq.(19)'s index $Z_{N_1}\to Z_{N_2}$):

$$\bullet\ S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{M}\tau_j^{(2)}\prod_{j=1}^{M}U_{j,j+1}^{(N_2,p_2)}\prod_{j=1}^{M}U_{j,j+2}^{(N_2,p_{21})} \tag{21}$$

$$\equiv \prod_j e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi L_j^{(2)}/N_2}\cdot\exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p_2}{N_2}(\phi_{2,j+1}-\phi_{2,j})_r]$$

$$\cdot\exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p_{21}}{N_2}(\tilde\phi_{1,j+2}-\tilde\phi_{1,j})_r]. \tag{22}$$

We define the interval of rotor angles are

$$\phi_{1,j}\in\{n\frac{2\pi}{N_1}|n\in\mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{23}$$

$$\phi_{2,j}\in\{n\frac{2\pi}{N_2}|n\in\mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{24}$$

$$\tilde\phi_{1,j},\tilde\phi_{2,j}\in\{n\frac{2\pi}{N_{12}}|n\in\mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{25}$$

where $\phi_{1,j}$ is Z_{N_1} angle, $\phi_{2,j}$ is Z_{N_2} angle, $\tilde\phi_{1,j}$ and $\tilde\phi_{2,j}$ are $Z_{N_{12}}$ angles (recall $\gcd(N_1,N_2)\equiv N_{12}$).

There are a few remarks on our above formalism:
(i) First, the Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2} symmetry transformation Eq.(19),(21) including both the Type I indices p_1, p_2 and also Type II indices p_{12} and p_{21}. Though p_1, p_2 are distinct indices, but p_{12} and p_{21} indices are the same index. This means that we can either choose $(p_{12},p_{21})=(p,0)$ or $(p_{12},p_{21})=(0,p)$ for the same SPT symmetry class.
(ii) The second remark, for Type I non-onsite symmetry transformation (with p_1 and p_2) are chosen to act on the nearest-neighbor sites (NN: site-j and site-$j+1$); but the Type II non-onsite symmetry transformation (with p_{12} and p_{21}) are chosen to be the next nearest-neighbor sites (NNN: site-j and site-j+2). The reason is that we have to avoid the nontrivial Type I and Type II symmetry transformations cancel or interfere with each other. Though in the Sec.III C, we will reveal that the low energy field theory description of non-onsite symmetry transformations for both NN and NNN having the same form in the continuum limit. Besides, in the absence of Type I index, we can have Type II non-onsite symmetry transformation act on nearest-neighbor sites.
(iii) The third remark, the domain wall picture mentioned in Eq.(15) of Sec.III for Type II p_{12} class still hold. But here the lattice regularization is different for terms

with p_{12}, p_{21} indices. In order to have distinct $Z_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$ class with the identification $p_{12} = p_{12} \bmod N_{12}$. We will expect that, performing the N_1 times Z_{N_1} symmetry transformation on the Type II p_{12} non-onsite piece, and performing the N_2 times Type II Z_{N_2} symmetry transformation on the Type II p_{21}non-onsite piece, render two constraints respectively

$$(U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{j,j+2})^{N_1} = (\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)\dagger}_j \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_{j+2})^{p_{12}}, \qquad (26)$$
$$(U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{j,j+2})^{N_2} = (\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)\dagger}_j \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_{j+2})^{p_{21}}.$$

To impose the identification $p_{12} = p_{12} \bmod N_{12}$ and $p_{21} = p_{21} \bmod N_{12}$ so that we have distinct $Z_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$ classes for the Type II symmetry class (which leads to impose the constraint $(\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_j)^{N_{12}} = (\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_j)^{N_{12}} = \mathbb{1}$), we will regularize the $\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_j$, $\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_j$ operators in terms of $Z_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$ variables (see Eq.(A14), (A15)). With $\omega_{12} \equiv \omega_{21} \equiv e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N_{12}}}$, we have $\omega^{N_{12}}_{12} = 1$. The $\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_j$ matrix has $N_1 \times N_1$ components. It is block diagonalizable with $\frac{N_1}{N_{12}}$ subblocks, and each subblock with $N_{12} \times N_{12}$ components. The $\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_j$ matrix has $N_2 \times N_2$ components. It is block diagonalizable with $\frac{N_2}{N_{12}}$ subblocks, and each subblock with $N_{12} \times N_{12}$ components. Our regularization provides the nice property: $\tau^{(1)\dagger}_j \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_j \tau^{(1)}_j = \omega_{12}\, \sigma^{(1)}_j$ and $\tau^{(2)\dagger}_j \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_j \tau^{(2)}_j = \omega_{12}\, \sigma^{(2)}_j$. Use the above procedure to regularize Eq.(19),(21) on a discretized lattice and solve the constraint Eq.(26), we obtain explicitly:

$$U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{j,j+2} = \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi p_{12}}{N_{12}N_1}\left[\frac{N_{12}-1}{2} + \sum_{a=1}^{N_{12}-1} \frac{\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)\dagger}_j \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_{j+2}\right)^a}{\omega^a_{12}-1}\right]\right), \qquad (27)$$

$$U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{j,j+2} = \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi p_{21}}{N_{12}N_2}\left[\frac{N_{12}-1}{2} + \sum_{a=1}^{N_{12}-1} \frac{\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)\dagger}_j \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_{j+2}\right)^a}{\omega^a_{12}-1}\right]\right), \qquad (28)$$

which substitutes to Eq.(19),(21) for explicit forms of lattice-regularized symmetry transformations. For more details on our lattice regularization, see Appendix.A 2.

2. *lattice Hamiltonians*

We had mentioned the trivial class of SPT Hamiltonians(the class of $p = 0$).

$$H^{(0)}_N = -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\tau_j + \tau^\dagger_j) \qquad (29)$$

Apparently, the Ising Hamiltonian is symmetry preserving respect to $S^{(0)}_N \equiv \prod_{j=1}^M \tau_j$ in Eq.17, i.e. $S^{(0)}_N H^{(0)}_N (S^{(0)}_N)^{-1} = H^{(0)}_N$. In addition, this Hamiltonian has a symmetry-preserving gapped ground state.

To extend our lattice Hamiltonian construction to $p \neq 0$ class, intuitively we can view the nontrivial SPT Hamiltonians as close relatives of the trivial Ising Hamiltonian (which preserves the onsite part of the symmetry transformation with $p = 0$), which satisfies the symmetry-preserving constraint, i.e.

$$S^{(p)}_N H^{(p)}_N (S^{(p)}_N)^{-1} = H^{(p)}_N, \qquad (30)$$

More explicitly, to construct a SPT Hamiltonian of $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$ symmetry, obeying translation and symmetry transformation invariant:

- $[H^{(p_1,p_2,p_{12})}_{N_1,N_2}, T] = 0,$ (31)
- $[H^{(p_1,p_2,p_{12})}_{N_1,N_2}, S^{(p_1,p_{12})}_{N_1} \cdot S^{(p_2,p_{21})}_{N_2}] = 0$ (32)

Here T is a translation operator by one lattice site, satisfying $T^\dagger X_j T = X_{j+1}, \quad j = 1, ..., M$, for any operator X_j on the ring such that $X_{M+1} \equiv X_1$. Also T satisfies $T^M = \mathbb{1}$. We can immediately derive the following SPT Hamiltonian satisfying the rules,

- $H^{(p_1,p_2,p_{12})}_{N_1,N_2} \equiv -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \left(S^{(p)}_N\right)^{-\ell} (\tau_j + \tau^\dagger_j) \left(S^{(p)}_N\right)^{\ell},$ (33)

where we define our notations: $S^{(p)}_N \equiv S^{(p_1,p_{12})}_{N_1} \cdot S^{(p_2,p_{21})}_{N_2}$ and $\tau_j \equiv \tau^{(1)}_j \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2\times N_2} + \mathbb{1}_{N_1\times N_1} \otimes \tau^{(2)}_j$. Here τ_j is a matrix of $(N_1 \times N_2) \times (N_1 \times N_2)$-components. The tower series of sum over power of $(S^{(p)}_N)$ over $(\tau_j + \tau^\dagger_j)$ will be shifted upon $S^{(p)}_N H^{(p)}_N (S^{(p)}_N)^{-1}$, but the overall sum of this Hamiltonian is a symmetry-preserving invariant.

B. Matrix Product Operators and Cocycles

We now use matrix product operators(MPO) formalism to verify that our symmetry transformations Eq.(19)(21) (thus the lattice Hamiltonian Eq.(33)) corresponds to non-trivial 3-cocycles in the third cohomology group in $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}, \mathrm{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$. What MPO really does, is that

> By contracting MPO tensors $T(g)$ of G-symmetry transformation S (here $g \in G$) of three neighbored-sites in different sequence on the effective 1D lattice of SPT edge states, it can reveal the **nontrivial projective phase** corresponds to **the nontrivial 3-cocycles of the cohomology group**.

(For Type I class, this has been done quite carefully in Ref.15 also in the pioneer work in Ref.14. Below we just demonstrate this fact, For more detail derivation on MPO formalism, we leave it to the Appendix.A.)

First we formulate the unitary operator $S^{(p)}_N$ as the MPO with the form:

$$S^{(p)}_N = \sum_{\{j,j'\}} \mathrm{tr}[T^{j_1 j'_1}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} T^{j_2 j'_2}_{\alpha_2\alpha_3} \dots T^{j_M j'_M}_{\alpha_M\alpha_1}] |j'_1, \dots, j'_M\rangle\langle j_1, \dots, j_M|. \qquad (34)$$

This is the operator formalism of matrix product states(MPS). Here *physical indices* $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M$ and $j'_1, j'_2, \ldots, j'_M$ are labeled by input/output physical eigenvalues (here Z_N rotor angle), the subindices $1, 2, \ldots, M$ are the physical site indices. There are also *virtual indices* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_M$ which are traced in the end. Summing over all the operation from $\{j, j'\}$ indices, we suppose to reproduce the symmetry transformation operator $S_N^{(p)}$.

To find out the projective representation $e^{i\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$. Below we use the facts of tensors $T(g_a), T(g_b), T(g_c)$ acting on three neighbored sites a, b, c with group elements g_a, g_b, g_c. We know a generic projective relation

$$T(g_a \cdot g_b) = P^{\dagger}_{g_a,g_b} T(g_a) T(g_b) P_{g_a,g_b}. \tag{35}$$

Here P_{g_a,g_b} is the projection operator. We contract three neighbored-site tensors in two different orders,

$$(P_{g_a,g_b} \otimes I_3) P_{g_a g_b, g_c} \simeq e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)} (I_1 \otimes P_{g_b,g_c}) P_{g_a, g_b g_c}. \tag{36}$$

The left-hand-side contracts the sites a, b first then with the site c, while the right-hand-side contracts the sites b, c first then with the site a. Here $\simeq$ means the equivalence is up to a projection out of un-parallel states. We can derive P_{g_a,g_b} by observing that P_{g_a,g_b} inputs one state and outputs two states. In Appendix A 1, we propose the $T(g)$ tensor for Type I symmetry, and we verify:

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)} = e^{-\mathrm{i}p\frac{2\pi}{N} m_c \frac{m_a+m_b-[m_a+m_b]_N}{N}} = \omega_{\mathrm{I}}^{(i)}(m_c, m_a, m_b) \tag{37}$$

which the complex phase in the projective representation indeed induces the Type I 3-cocycle $\omega_{\mathrm{I}}^{(i)}(m_c, m_a, m_b)$ of Eq.(10) in the third cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_N, \mathrm{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$. (Up to the index redefinition $p \to -p$.) In Appendix A 2, we derive the projective phase as Type II 3-cocycle of Eq.(11),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)} &= e^{\mathrm{i}p_{21}(\frac{2\pi m_c^{(1)}}{N_1})\left((m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})_{N_2} - (m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})\right)/N_2} \\ &= \omega_{\mathrm{II}}^{(ij)}(m_3, m_1, m_2) \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

up to the index redefinition $p_{21} \to -p_{12}$. From here we learn that the inserted p_{12} and p_{21} are indeed the same indices because $e^{\mathrm{i}p_{21}(\frac{2\pi m_c^{(1)}}{N_1})\left((m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})_{N_2} - (m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})\right)/N_2}$ and $e^{\mathrm{i}p_{12}(\frac{2\pi m_c^{(2)}}{N_2})\left((m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)})_{N_1} - (m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)})\right)/N_1}$ are equivalent 3-cocycles up to 3-coboundaries,[31] meanwhile $p_{12} = p_{12} \bmod \gcd(N_1, N_2)$. This demonstrates that our lattice construction fulfills all $\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$ Type II classes of SPT with $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$-symmetry, and also Type I $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}, \mathbb{Z}_{N_2}$ classes as we desired. (Detailed calculations are reserved to the Appendix.A.)

C. Field Theory

After acheiving a full-refualrized lattice model in the previous section, we now take the low energy continuum limit to its corresponds field theory. By identifying the commutator $[\hat{\phi}_j^{(u)}, \hat{L}_l^{(v)}] = \mathrm{i}\,\delta_{(j,l)}\delta_{(u,v)}$ (here j, l are the site indices, $u, v \in \{1, 2\}$ are the Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2} rotor model indices) in the continuum as

$$[\phi_\alpha(x_1), \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi'_\beta(x_2)] = \mathrm{i}\,\delta(x_1 - x_2)\delta_{(\alpha,\beta)} \tag{39}$$

which means the Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2} lattice operators $\hat{\phi}_j^{(1)}, \hat{L}_l^{(1)}$, $\hat{\phi}_j^{(2)}, \hat{L}_l^{(2)}$ and field operators ϕ_1, ϕ'_1, ϕ_2, ϕ'_2 identification as

$$\hat{\phi}_j^{(\alpha)} \to \phi_\alpha(x_j) \tag{40}$$

$$\hat{L}_l^{(\alpha)} \to \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi'_\alpha(x_l). \tag{41}$$

Here we view ϕ_1 and ϕ'_1 as the dual rotor angles. (Note ϕ'_1 is another field operator other than ϕ_1, instead of being its derivative.) Taking ϕ_1 as the quantum rotor angle field operator, then the $L_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi'_1$ is the angular momentum field operator. Similar relations occur for ϕ_2 and ϕ'_2.

The continuum limit of Eq.(20) and Eq.(22) becomes[32]

- $$S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})} = \exp[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{N_1}(\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi'_1 + p_1 \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi_1 + 0 \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi'_2 + p_{12} \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \tilde{\phi}_2)] \tag{42}$$

- $$S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})} = \exp[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{N_2}(0 \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi'_1 + p_{21} \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \tilde{\phi}_1 + 1 \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi'_2 + p_2 \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi_2)] \tag{43}$$

Notice that we carefully input an tilde on some $\tilde{\phi}_1$, $\tilde{\phi}_2$ fields. We stress the lattice regularization of $\tilde{\phi}_1$, $\tilde{\phi}_2$ is different from ϕ_1, ϕ_2, see Eq.(25), which is analogous to $\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}$ and $\sigma^{(1)}$, $\sigma^{(2)}$ in Sec.III A 1. Adopt Eq.(39), we impose the commutation relation for the field operators,

$$[\phi_I(x_1), K_{I'J}\partial_x \phi_J(x_2)] = 2\pi\,\mathrm{i}\,\delta_{II'}\delta(x_1 - x_2). \tag{44}$$

We implement the low energy long-wave limit of our quantum rotor model by a K matrix chiral boson theory with edge-states field theory action as

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{SPT},\partial\mathcal{M}^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dt\, dx\, \left(K_{IJ}\partial_t \phi_I \partial_x \phi_J - V_{IJ}\partial_x \phi_I \partial_x \phi_J\right). \tag{45}$$

Here K, V matrices are symmetric bilinear. We derive the first term $K_{IJ}\partial_t \phi_I \partial_x \phi_J$ from the Berry phase term $\partial_t \phi \cdot P_\phi$, and we derive the second term from the quadratic order of lattice Hamiltonian. Indeed a rank-4 K-matrix

$$K_{\mathrm{SPT}} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \tag{46}$$

does the job, with a chiral boson multiplet $\phi_I(x) = (\phi_1(x),\ \phi'_1(x),\ \phi_2(x),\ \phi'_2(x))$. We should mention two

remarks: First, there are higher order terms beyond $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{SPT},\partial\mathcal{M}^2}$ when taking continuum limit of lattice. However, we find that the quadratic terms are all captured by the action $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{SPT},\partial\mathcal{M}^2}$. At the low energy limit, it shall be reasonable to drop it. Second, in the nontrivial SPT class (some topological terms $p_i \neq 0$, $p_{ij} \neq 0$), the $\det(V) \neq 0$ and all eigenvalues are non-zeros, so the edge states are gapless. In the trivial insulating class (all topological terms $p = 0$), the $\det(V) = 0$, so the edge states may be gapped (consistent with Sec.III A 2). Use Eq.(44), we derive the 1D edge global symmetry transformation as[32]

$$S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})}\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1(x)\\ \phi_1'(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_2(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_2'(x)\end{pmatrix}(S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})})^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1(x)\\ \phi_1'(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_2(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_2'(x)\end{pmatrix}+\frac{2\pi}{N_1}\begin{pmatrix}1\\ p_1\\ 0\\ p_{12}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{47}$$

$$S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\phi}_1(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_1'(x)\\ \phi_2(x)\\ \phi_2'(x)\end{pmatrix}(S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})})^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\phi}_1(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_1'(x)\\ \phi_2(x)\\ \phi_2'(x)\end{pmatrix}+\frac{2\pi}{N_2}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ p_{21}\\ 1\\ p_2\end{pmatrix}. \tag{48}$$

This result is consistent with Ref.18. We can see how p_{12}, p_{21} identify the same index by doing a M matrix with $M \in \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{Z})$ transformation on the K matrix Chern-Simons theory, which redefines the ϕ field, but still describe the same theory. That means: $K \to K' = M^T K M$ and $\phi \to \phi' = M^{-1}\phi$, and so the symmetry charge vector $q \to q' = M^{-1}q$. We show that by choosing

$$M=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\ p_1&1&p_{21}&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ p_{12}&0&p_2&1\end{pmatrix},\ \text{then} \tag{49}$$
$$K'=\begin{pmatrix}2p_1&1&p_{12}+p_{21}&0\\ 1&0&0&0\\ p_{12}+p_{21}&0&2p_2&1\\ 0&0&1&0\end{pmatrix}, q_1'=\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix},\ q_2'=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}.$$

The theory labeled by $K_{\mathrm{SPT}}, q_1, q_2$ is equivalent to the one labeled by K', q_1', q_2'. Thus we show that $p_{12}+p_{21} \to p_{12}$ identifies the same index. There are other ways using the gauged or probed-field version of topological gauge theory (either on the edge or in the bulk) to identify the gauge theory's symmetry transformation,[18] or the bulk braiding statistics[33] to determine this Type II classification $p_{12} = p_{12} \bmod(\gcd(N_1, N_2))$. We thus obtain all classes of group cohomology $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}, \mathrm{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$ for $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$ symmetry, with $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}$, $p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_2}$, $p_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$.

The nontrivial fact that when $p_{12} = N_{12}$ as a trivial class, the symmetry transformation in Eq.(47),(48) may not go back to the trivial symmetry under the condition $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x\tilde{\phi}_1 = \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x\tilde{\phi}_2 = 2\pi$, implying a soliton can induce fractional charge (for details see Sec.V).

IV. TYPE III p_{123}-TH CLASS IN $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3}$ SYMMETRY

We will now consider the edge states of lattice Hamiltonian with $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3}$ symmetry. It is apparent that there are still the previous SPT non-onsite symmetry transformations in Eq.(19),(21) and the Hamiltonian Eq.(33) of the type as in the previous Type I, II 3-cocycles appeared in Sec.III. For SPT state with $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3}$ symmetry, we introduce the minimal ingredients: (i) Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} operators (ii) Hilbert space consists with Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} variables-states. We can naturally choose their variables $\omega_1 \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\,2\pi/N_1}$, $\omega_2 \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\,2\pi/N_2}$, $\omega_3 \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\,2\pi/N_3}$, such that $\omega_1^{N_1} = \omega_2^{N_2} = \omega_3^{N_3} = 1$. The natural physical states on a single site are the Z_{N_a} rotor angle state $|\phi_u = 0\rangle, |\phi_u = 2\pi/N_u\rangle, \ldots, |\phi_u = 2\pi(N_u-1)/N_u\rangle$, here ϕ_u are the rotor angle phase with $\phi_u = \phi_u \bmod 2\pi$, here $u = 1, 2, 3$, etc. The linear combination of all $|\phi_1\rangle$ $|\phi_2\rangle$ $|\phi_3\rangle$ states form a complete $N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$-dimensional Hilbert space on a single site. We again focus on the case of 1D lattice with M sites in Fig.2, with the dimension of the Hilbert space is $(N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3)^M$. (In the below discussion, if we did not specify the site index, we are considering a single site.)

Among the group cohomology SPT classification in Eq.(2), we can complete all the class of $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}$, $p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_2}$, $p_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_3}$, $p_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$, $p_{23} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_2,N_3)}$, $p_{13} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_3)}$ by applying our formalism in Sec.III. What we will focus now is the new class where three Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} rotor models *directly talk to each other*. This will be the Type III class $p_{123} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}$, where the symmetry transformation of Z_{N_1} global symmetry will affect the mixed Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} rotor models *in a mutual way*, while similarly Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} global symmetry will cause the same effect. This will be the new exotic type of Type III new class $p_{123} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}$.

In this section, we will realize a new exotic class of discrete lattice model and a continuum field theory, both required corresponding to the Type III 3-cocycle:

$$\omega_{\mathrm{III}}^{(ijl)}(A,B,C) = \exp\Big(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} p_{ijl}}{N_{ijl}}\, a_i b_j c_l\Big), \tag{50}$$

(with $i \neq j, i \neq l, j \neq l$, and $N_{ijl} \equiv \gcd(N_i, N_j, N_l)$) to capture the essence of this new Type III class of SPT state. While Type I and Type II classes are still quite in the similar form (it is easy to appreciate the fact by looking into their 3-cocycle forms in Eq.(10)(11)), the Type III symmetry transformation does not have analogous forms as Type I and Type II classes of Sec.III and is totally a new exotic type.

A. Lattice Model

1. *symmetry transformations*

As we introduce in the beginning of Sec.IV, the minimal ingredients of Type III $p_{123} \neq 0$ our lattice model are:

(i) Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2},Z_{N_3} operators, (ii) Hilbert space (the state-space where Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} operators act on) consists with Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} variables-state. The key to construct the lattice model with Type III $p_{123} \neq 0$ is to realize its non-onsite symmetry transformation $S_N^{(p)} \equiv S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})}$ regularized on the discrete lattice. The key observation is that Type III 3-cocycle in Eq.(50) inputs, for example, $a_1 \in Z_{N_1}$, $b_2 \in Z_{N_2}$, $c_3 \in Z_{N_3}$ and outputs a U(1) phase. This implies that the Z_{N_1} symmetry transformation will affect the mixed Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} rotor models, etc. This observation guides us to write down the tensor $T(g)$ (details in Appendix.A 3) and we propose the symmetry transformation $S_N^{(p)} = S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})}$ defined as

- $$S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})} = \prod_{j=1}^{M} \Big(\prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}}^{M} \tau_j^{(u)} \cdot W_{j,j+1}^{\mathrm{III}} \Big). \quad (51)$$

There is an onsite piece $\tau_j \equiv \langle \phi_j | e^{i2\pi L_j^{(u)}/N} | \phi_j \rangle$ and also an extra non-onsite symmetry transformation part $W_{j,j+1}^{\mathrm{III}}$. This non-onsite symmetry transformation $W_{j,j+1}^{\mathrm{III}}$, acting on the site j and $j+1$, is defined by the following, and can be further regularized on the lattice:

- $$W_{j,j+1}^{\mathrm{III}} = \prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} e^{\left(\mathrm{i} \frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi N_{123}} \epsilon^{uvw} \frac{p_{123}}{N_u} \left(\phi_{in}^{j+1,(v)} \phi_{in}^{j,(w)} \right) \right)}$$
$$= \boxed{\prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} \left(\sigma_j^{(v)\dagger} \sigma_{j+1}^{(v)} \right)^{\epsilon^{uvw} p_{123} \frac{\log(\sigma_j^{(w)}) N_v N_w}{2\pi N_{123}}}}$$
$$\equiv W_{j,j+1;N_1}^{\mathrm{III}} \cdot W_{j,j+1;N_2}^{\mathrm{III}} \cdot W_{j,j+1;N_3}^{\mathrm{III}} \quad (52)$$

here we separate Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2},Z_{N_3} non-onsite symmetry transformation to $W_{j,j+1;N_1}^{\mathrm{III}}$,$W_{j,j+1;N_2}^{\mathrm{III}}$,$W_{j,j+1;N_3}^{\mathrm{III}}$ respectively. In Appendix.A 3, we will show explicitly Eq.(51) and (52) is fully regularized in terms of Z_N variables on the lattice.

2. *lattice Hamiltonians*

To construct a translation-invariant symmetry-preserving SPT Hamiltonian, we require

- $[H_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})}, T] = 0,$ (53)
- $[H_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})}, S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})}] = 0.$ (54)

The solution of the Hamiltonian can be

- $$H_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})} \equiv -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \Big(S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})} \Big)^{-\ell} (\tau_j + \tau_j^\dagger) \Big(S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})} \Big)^{\ell}, \quad (55)$$

where we define our notations: $S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})} \equiv S_{N_1}^{(p_{123})} \cdot S_{N_2}^{(p_{213})} \cdot S_{N_3}^{(p_{312})}$ and $\tau_j \equiv \tau_j^{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2\times N_2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_3\times N_3} + \mathbb{1}_{N_1\times N_1} \otimes \tau_j^{(2)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_3\times N_3} + \mathbb{1}_{N_1\times N_1} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2\times N_2} \otimes \tau_j^{(3)}$. Here τ_j is a matrix of $(N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3) \times (N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3)$-components.

B. Matrix Product Operators and Cocycles

We will show that our lattice construction indeed reproduces the Type III class of SPT. (Here we only outline the procedure and the result, please refer to Appendix.A 3 for detailed calculations.) Parallel to Sec.III B, we need to construct the MPO tensors $T(g)$, and verify that it produces the symmetry transformation $S_{N_1,N_2,N_3}^{(p_{123})}$ in terms of Eq.(34). Then we can find the projective tensor of Eq.A8. Using Eq.(36), we derive $e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ as

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)} = e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}\left(\frac{m_c^{(u)}}{N_u}\frac{m_a^{(v)}}{N_v}\frac{m_b^{(w)}}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{N_{123}}}$$
$$\simeq \omega_{\mathrm{III}}^{(uvw)}(m_c, m_a, m_b). \quad (56)$$

Adjust p_{123} index (i.e. setting only the p_{123} index in $m_c^{(1)} m_a^{(2)} m_b^{(3)}$ to be nonzero, while others $p_{213} = p_{312} = 0$), and compute Eq.(36) with only p_{123} index, we can recover the projective phase reveals Type III 3-cocycle in Eq.(50).

C. Field Theory

Similar to Sec.III C, from a full-refualrized lattice model in the previous section, we attempt to take the low energy limit to realize its corresponds field theory, by identifying the commutation relation $[\hat{\phi}_j^{(\alpha)}, \hat{L}_l^{(\beta)}] = \mathrm{i}\,\delta_{(j,l)}\delta_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ (here j, l are the site indices, $\alpha, \beta \in \{1,2,3\}$ are the $Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3}$ rotor model indices) in the continuum as

$$[\phi_\alpha(x_1), \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi'_\beta(x_2)] = \mathrm{i}\,\delta(x_1 - x_2)\delta_{(\alpha,\beta)} \quad (57)$$

which means the $Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3}$ lattice operators $\hat{\phi}_j^{(1)}, \hat{L}_l^{(1)}$, $\hat{\phi}_j^{(2)}, \hat{L}_l^{(2)}$, $\hat{\phi}_j^{(3)}, \hat{L}_l^{(3)}$ and field operators ϕ_1, ϕ'_1, ϕ_2, ϕ'_2, ϕ_3, ϕ'_3 are identified by

$$\hat{\phi}_j^{(\alpha)} \to \phi_\alpha(x_j) \quad (58)$$
$$\hat{L}_l^{(\alpha)} \to \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi'_\alpha(x_l). \quad (59)$$

Again we view ϕ_1 and ϕ'_1 as the dual rotor angles. (Note ϕ'_1 is another field operator other than ϕ_1, instead of being its derivative.) Taking ϕ_1 as the quantum rotor angle field operator, then the $L_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi'_1$ is the angular momentum field operator. Similar relations for ϕ_2 and ϕ'_2, also ϕ_3 and ϕ'_3. We have no difficulty to formulate a K matrix chiral boson field theory as Eq.(45),

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{SPT},\partial\mathcal{M}^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dt\, dx\, \left(K_{IJ}\partial_t\phi_I\partial_x\phi_J - V_{IJ}\partial_x\phi_I\partial_x\phi_J \right)$$

but requiring a rank-6 symmetric K-matrix,

$$K_{\mathrm{SPT}} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad (60)$$

with a chiral boson multiplet $\phi_I(x) = (\phi_1(x),\ \phi_1'(x),\ \phi_2(x),\ \phi_2'(x)\ \phi_3(x),\ \phi_3'(x))$. Our next goal is deriving Type III symmetry transformation Eq.(51)

By taking the continuum limit of

$$\epsilon^{(u=1,2,3)(v)(w)}\phi_{in}^{j+1,(v)}\phi_{in}^{j,(w)} \tag{61}$$

$$= \big((\phi_{in}^{j+1,(v)} - \phi_{in}^{j,(v)})\phi_{in}^{j,(w)} - (\phi_{in}^{j+1,(w)} - \phi_{in}^{j,(w)})\phi_{in}^{j,(v)}\big)$$

$$\to \big(\partial_x\phi_{in}^{(v)}(x)\phi_{in}^{(w)}(x) - \partial_x\phi_{in}^{(w)}(x)\phi_{in}^{(v)}(x)\big) \tag{62}$$

we can massage the continuum limit of Type III symmetry transformation Eq.(51) to ($\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)\equiv N_{123}$)

$$\bullet\ S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3} = \prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} \exp\big[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{N_u}\big(\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_u'\big)\big]\cdot\exp\big[\mathrm{i}\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi N_{123}}\frac{p_{123}}{N_u}\int_0^L dx\,\epsilon^{uvw}\partial_x\phi_v(x)\phi_w(x)\big] \tag{63}$$

Here $u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}$ are the label of the symmetry group Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3}'s indices. Though this Type III class is already known in the group cohomology sense, **this Type III symmetry transformation result is entirely new and not yet been explored in the literature,** especially not yet studied in the field theory in SPT context.[16,18]

We impose the same commutation relations as Eq.(44) adopted by Eq.(57) as in Sec.III C,

$$[\phi_I(x_i), K_{I'J}\partial_{x_j}\phi_J(x_j)] = 2\pi\mathrm{i}\,\delta_{II'}\delta(x_i-x_j), \tag{64}$$

$$[\phi_I(x_i), K_{I'J}\phi_J(x_j)] = -2\pi\mathrm{i}\,\delta_{II'}\tilde{h}(x_i-x_j). \tag{65}$$

Here $\tilde{h}(x_i-x_j)\equiv h(x_i-x_j)-1/2$, where $h(x)$ is the Heaviside step function, with $h(x)=1$ for $x\geq 0$ and $h(x)=0$ for $x<0$. And $\tilde{h}(x)$ is $h(x)$ shifted by $1/2$, i.e. $\tilde{h}(x)=1/2$ for $x\geq 0$ and $h(x)=-1/2$ for $x<0$. The shifted $1/2$ value is for consistency condition for the integration-by-part and the commutation relation. Use these relations, we derive the global symmetry transformation $S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}$ acting on the rotor fields $\phi_u(x),\phi_u'(x)$ (here $u\in\{1,2,3\}$) on the 1D edge by

$$\bullet\ (S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3})\phi_u(x)(S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3})^{-1} = \phi_u(x) + \frac{2\pi}{N_u} \tag{66}$$

$$\bullet (S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3})\phi_u'(x)(S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3})^{-1} = \phi_u'(x) - \epsilon^{uvw}Q\frac{2\pi}{N_v}(2\phi_w(x) - \frac{(\phi_w(L)+\phi_w(0))}{2}) \tag{67}$$

where one can define a Type III symmetry charge $Q\equiv p_{123}\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi N_{123}}$. Here the 1D edge is on a compact circle with the length L, here $\phi_w(L)$ are $\phi_w(0)$ taking value at the position $x=0$ (also $x=L$). (In the case of infinite 1D line, we shall replace $\phi_w(L)$ by $\phi_w(\infty)$ and replace $\phi_w(0)$ by $\phi_w(-\infty)$.) But $\phi_w(L)$ may differ from $\phi_w(0)$ by $2\pi n$ with some number n if there is a nontrivial winding, i.e.

$$\phi_w(L) = \phi_w(0) + 2\pi n = 2\pi\frac{n_w}{N_w} + 2\pi n, \tag{68}$$

where we apply the fact that $\phi_w(0)$ is a Z_{N_w} rotor angle. So Eq.(67) effectively results in a shift $+\epsilon^{uvw}p_{123}\frac{N_u}{N_{123}}(2\pi n_w + \pi N_w n)$ and a rotation $\epsilon^{uvw}Q\frac{2\pi}{N_v}(2\phi_w(x))$. Since $\frac{N_u}{N_{123}}$ is necessarily an integer, the symmetry transformation $(S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3})\phi_u'(x)(S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3})^{-1}$ will shift by a 2π multiple if $p_{123}\frac{N_u}{N_{123}}N_w n$ is an even integer.

V. FRACTIONAL QUANTUM NUMBER TRAPPED AT THE DOMAIN WALLS - TYPE II BOSONIC ANOMALY

We now apply the tools we develop in Sec.III,IV to capture physical observables for these SPT states. We propose the experimental/numerical signatures for certain SPT with Type II class $p_{12}\neq 0$ with (at least) two symmetry group $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}$, also as a way to study the physical measurements for Type II bosonic anomaly. We show that when the Z_{N_1} symmetry is broken by Z_{N_1} domain wall created on a ring, there will be some frac-

tionalized Z_{N_2} charges induced. We will demonstrate our field theory method can easily capture this effect.

A. Field theory approach: fractional Z_N charge trapped at the kink of Z_N symmetry-breaking Domain Walls

Consider the Z_{N_1} domain wall is created on a ring (the Z_{N_1} symmetry is broken), then the Z_{N_1} domain wall can be captured by $\phi_1(x)$ for $x \in [0, L)$ takes some constant value ϕ_0 while $\phi_1(L)$ shifted by $2\pi\frac{n_1}{N_1}$ away from ϕ_0. This means that $\phi_1(x)$ has the fractional winding number:

$$\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi_1 = \phi_1(L) - \phi_1(0) = 2\pi \frac{n_1}{N_1}, \qquad (69)$$

Also recall Eq.(43) that the Type II $p_{21} \neq 0$ (and $p_1 = 0, p_2 = 0$) Z_{N_2} symmetry transformation

$$S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})} = \exp[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{N_2}(p_{21}\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \tilde{\phi}_1 + \int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi_2')], \quad (70)$$

can measure the induced Z_{N_2} charge on a state $|\Psi_{\text{domain}}\rangle$ with this domain wall feature as

$$S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})}|\Psi_{\text{domain}}\rangle = \exp[\frac{\mathrm{i}\, p_{21}}{N_2}(\tilde{\phi}_1(L) - \tilde{\phi}_1(0))]|\Psi_{\text{domain}}\rangle$$
$$= \exp[(2\pi\mathrm{i}\frac{n_{12}\, p_{21}}{N_{12}\, N_2})]|\Psi_{\text{domain}}\rangle. \qquad (71)$$

We also adopt two facts that: First, $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \tilde{\phi}_1 = 2\pi\frac{n_{12}}{N_{12}}$ with some integer n_{12}, where the $\tilde{\phi}_1$ is regularized in a unit of $2\pi/N_{12}$. Second, as Z_{N_2} symmetry is not broken, both ϕ_2 and ϕ_2' have no domain walls, then the above evaluation takes into account that $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi_2' = 0$. This implies that induced charge is fractionalized $(n_{12}/N_{12})p_{21}$ (recall $p_{12}, p_{21} \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_{12}}$) Z_{N_2} charge. This is the fractional charge trapped at the configuration of a single kink in Fig.3.

On the other hand, one can imagine a series of N_{12} number of Z_{N_1}-symmetry-breaking domain wall each breaks to different vacuum expectation value(v.e.v.) where the domain wall in the region $[0, x_1)$,$[x_1, x_2)$, ..., $[x_{N_{12}-1}, x_{N_{12}} = L)$ with their symmetry-breaking ϕ_1 value at $0(= 2\pi)$, $2\pi\frac{1}{N_{12}}$, $2\pi\frac{2}{N_{12}}$, ..., $2\pi\frac{N_{12}-1}{N_{12}}$. This means a nontrivial winding number, like a soliton effect (see Fig.4), $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \tilde{\phi}_1 = 2\pi$ and $S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})}|\Psi_{\text{domain wall}}\rangle = \exp[(2\pi\mathrm{i}\frac{p_{21}}{N_2})]|\Psi_{\text{domain wall}}\rangle$ capturing p_{21} integer units of Z_{N_2} charge at N_{12} kinks for totally N_{12} domain walls, in the configuration of Fig.4. In average, each kink captures the p_{21}/N_{12} fractional units of Z_{N_2} charge.

Similarly, we can consider the Z_{N_2} domain wall is created on a ring (the Z_{N_2} symmetry is broken), then the Z_{N_2} domain wall can be captured by $\phi_2(x)$ for $x \in [0, L)$ takes some constant value ϕ_0 while $\phi_2(L)$ shifted by $2\pi\frac{n_2}{N_2}$ away from ϕ_0. It is straightforward to show that:
(i) There is $(n_{12}/N_{12})p_{12}$ (fractionalized) Z_{N_1} charge at the kink $x = 0$(or $x = L$) of domain walls (which has the profile $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \tilde{\phi}_2 = 2\pi\frac{n_{12}}{N_{12}}$), as in Fig.3.
(ii) A series of N_{12} number of Z_{N_2}-symmetry-breaking equivalent domain walls each breaks to different v.e.v. (with an overall profile of $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \tilde{\phi}_2 = 2\pi$). By $S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})}|\Psi_{\text{domain wall}}\rangle = \exp[(2\pi\mathrm{i}\frac{p_{12}}{N_1})]|\Psi_{\text{domain wall}}\rangle$, the N_{12} kinks of domain wall captures p_{12} integer units of Z_{N_1} charge for totally N_{12} domain wall, as in Fig.4. In average, each domain wall captures p_{12}/N_{12} fractional units of Z_{N_2} charge.



FIG. 3: We expect some fractional charge trapped near a single kink around $x = 0$ (i.e. $x = 0 + \epsilon$) and $x = L$ (i.e. $x = 0 - \epsilon$) in the domain walls. For Z_{N_1}-symmetry breaking domain wall with a kink jump $\Delta\phi_1 = 2\pi\frac{n_{12}}{N_{12}}$, we predict that the fractionalized $(n_{12}/N_{12})p_{21}$ units of Z_{N_2} charge are induced.



FIG. 4: A nontrivial winding $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi(x) = 2\pi$. This is like a soliton a soliton (or particle) insertion. For N_{12} number of Z_{N_1}-symmetry breaking domain walls, we predict that the integer p_{21} units of total induced Z_{N_2} charge on a 1D ring. In average, each kink captures a p_{21}/N_{12} fractional units of Z_{N_2} charge.

B. Goldstone-Wilczek formula and Fractional Quantum Number

It is interesting to view our result above in light of the Goldstone-Wilczek(G-W) construction.[29] We warm up by computing 1/2-fermion charge found by Jackiw-Rebbi[34] using G-W method We will then do a more general case for SPT. The construction, valid for 1D systems, works as follows.

Jackiw-Rebbi model: Consider a Lagrangian describing spinless fermions $\psi(x)$ coupled to a classical background profile $\lambda(x)$ via a term $\lambda\, \psi^\dagger \sigma_3 \psi$. In the high temperature phase, the v.e.v. of λ is zero and no mass is generated for the fermions. In the low temperature phase, the λ acquires two degenerate vacuum values $\pm\langle\lambda\rangle$ that



FIG. 5: A profile of several domain walls, each with kinks and anti-kinks(in blue color). For Z_{N_1} symmetry-breaking domain wall, each single kink can trap fractionalized Z_{N_2} charge. However, overall there is no nontrivial winding $\int_0^L dx\, \partial_x \phi_1(x) = 2\pi$ (i.e. no net soliton insertion), so there is no net induced charge on the whole 1D ring.

are related by a Z_2 symmetry. Generically we have

$$\langle \lambda \rangle \cos\big(\phi(x) - \theta(x)\big), \tag{72}$$

where we use the bosonization dictionary $\psi^\dagger \sigma_3 \psi \to \cos\{\phi(x)\}$ and a phase change $\Delta\theta = \pi$ captures the existence of a domain wall separating regions with opposite values of the v.e.v. of λ. From the fact that the fermion density $\rho(x) = \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi(x)$ (and the current $J^\mu = \psi^\dagger \gamma^\mu \psi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu \phi$), it follows that the induced charge Q_{dw} on the kink by a domain wall is

$$Q_{dw} = \int_{x_0-\varepsilon}^{x_0+\varepsilon} dx\, \rho(x) = \int_{x_0-\varepsilon}^{x_0+\varepsilon} dx\, \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_x \phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\pi = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{73}$$

where x_0 denotes the center of the domain wall.

Type II Bosonic Anomalies: We are now consider the case where the Z_{N_1} symmetry is spontaneously broken into different "vacuum"regions. This can be captured by an effective term in the Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{sb} = -\lambda \cos\big(\phi_1(x) - \theta(x)\big), \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{74}$$

and the ground state is obtained, in the large λ limit, by phase locking $\phi_1 = \theta$, which opens a gap in the spectrum.

Different domain wall regions are described by different choices of the profile $\theta(x)$, as discussed in Sec.V A. In particular, if we have $\theta(x) = \theta_k(x)$ and $\theta_k(x) = (k-1)\,2\pi/N_{12}$, for $x \in [(k-1)L/N_{12}, kL/N_{12})$, $k = 1, ..., N_{12}$. then we see that that, a domain wall separating regions k and k+1 (where the phase difference is $2\pi/N_{12}$) induces a Z_{N_2} charge given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q_{k,k+1} &= \int_{kL/N_{12}-\varepsilon}^{kL/N_{12}+\varepsilon} dx\, \delta\rho_2(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{kL/N_{12}-\varepsilon}^{kL/N_{12}+\varepsilon} dx\, \frac{p_{12}}{N_2}\, \partial_x \phi_1 = \frac{p_{12}}{N_2 N_{12}}. \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

This implies a fractional of p_{12}/N_{12} induced Z_{N_2} charge on a single kink of Z_{N_1}-symmetry breaking domain walls, consistent with Eq.(71).



FIG. 6: In the fermionized language, one can capture the anomaly effect on induced (fractional) charge/current under soliton background by the 1-loop diagram. With the solid line — represents fermions, the wavy line $\sim$ represents the external (gauge) field coupling to the induced current J^μ (or charge J^0), and the dashed line - - represents the soliton (domain walls) background. Here instead of fermionizing the theory, we directly address in the bosonized language to capture the bosonic anomaly.

Some remarks follow: If the system is placed on a ring,
(i) First, with net soliton (or particle) insertions, then the total charge induced is non-zero, see Fig.4.
(ii) Second, without net soliton (or particle) insertions, then the total charge induced is obviously zero, as domain walls necessarily come in pairs with opposite charges on the kink and the anti-kink, see Fig.5.
(iii) One can also capture this bosonic anomaly in the fermionized language using the 1-loop diagram under soliton background, shown in Fig.6.

C. Lattice approach: Projective phase observed at Domain Walls

Now we would like to formulate a fully regularized lattice approach to derive the induced fractional charge, and compare to the complementary field theory done in Sec.V A and Goldstone-Wilczek approach in Sec.V B. Below our notation follows Sec.III. Recall that in the case of a system with onsite symmetry, such as Z_N Ising model on a 1D ring with a simple Hamiltonian of $\sum_j (\sigma_j + \sigma_j^\dagger)$, there is a on-site symmetry transformation $S = \prod_j \tau_j$ acting on the full ring. We can simply take a segment (from the site r_1 to r_2) of the symmetry transformation defined as a D operator $D(r_1, r_2) \equiv \prod_{j=r_1}^{r_2} \tau_j$. The D operator does the job to flip the measurement on $\langle \sigma_\ell \rangle$. What we mean is that $\langle \psi | \sigma_\ell | \psi \rangle$ and $\langle \psi' | \sigma_\ell | \psi' \rangle \equiv \langle \psi | D^\dagger \sigma_\ell D | \psi \rangle = e^{i2\pi/N} \langle \psi | \sigma_\ell | \psi \rangle$ are distinct by a phase $e^{i2\pi/N}$ as long as $\ell \in [r_1, r_2]$. Thus D operator creates domain walls profile.

For our case of SPT edge states with non-onsite symmetry studied here, we are readily to generalize the above and take a line segment of non-onsite symmetry transformation with symmetry Z_{N_u} (from the site r_1 to r_2) and define it as a D_{N_u} operator, $D_{N_u}(r_1, r_2) \equiv \prod_{j=r_1}^{r_2} \tau_j^{(u)} \prod_{j=r_1}^{r_2-1} U_{j,j+1} W^{III}_{j,j+1}$ (from the expression of

S_{N_u}, with the onsite piece $\tau_j^{(u)}$ and the non-onsite piece $U_{j,j+1}$ in Eq.(19),(21) and $W_{j,j+1}$ in Eq.(51)). This D operator effectively creates domain wall on the state with a kink (at the r_1) and anti-kink (at the r_2) feature, such as in Fig.5. Although the total net charge on this type of domain wall (with equal numbers of kink and anti-kinks) are zero, due to no net soliton insertion (i.e. no net winding, so $\int_0^L \partial_x\phi\, dx = 0$). However, we can still compute the phase gained at each single kink. We consider the induced charge measurement by $S(D|\psi\rangle)$, which is $(SDS^\dagger)S|\psi\rangle = e^{\mathrm{i}(\Theta_0+\Theta)}D|\psi\rangle$, where Θ_0 is from the initial charge (i.e. $S|\psi\rangle \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_0}|\psi\rangle$) and Θ is from the charge gained on the kink. The measurement of symmetry S producing a phase $e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta}$, implies a nontrivial induced charge trapped at the kink of domain walls. We compute the phase at the left kink on a domain wall for all Type I, II, III SPT classes, and summarize them in Table II.

SPT class	$e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L}$ of $D_{N_u}\|\psi\rangle$ acted by Z_{N_v} symmetry S_v	$e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L}$ of $D_{N_u}\|\psi\rangle$ under a soliton $\int_0^L dx\,\partial\phi_x = 2\pi$	Fractional charge
Type I p_1	$S_{N_1}^{(p_1)}D_{N_1}^{(p_1)}S_{N_1}^{(p_1)\dagger} \to e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L} = e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi p_1}{N_1^2}}$	$S_{N_1}^{(p_1)}(D_{N_1}^{(p_1)})^{N_1}S_{N_1}^{(p_1)\dagger} \to e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L} = e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi p_1}{N_1}}$	No
Type II p_{12}	$S_{N_2}^{(p_{12})}D_{N_1}^{(p_{12})}S_{N_2}^{(p_{12})\dagger} \to e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L} = e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{N_2}\frac{\mathbf{p_{12}}}{\mathbf{N_{12}}}}$	$S_{N_2}^{(p_{12})}(D_{N_1}^{(p_{12})})^{N_{12}}S_{N_2}^{(p_{12})\dagger} \to e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L} = e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi p_{12}}{N_2}}$	Yes (Eq.(71),(75))
Type III p_{123}	$S_{N_2}^{(p_{123})}D_{N_1}^{(p_{123})}S_{N_2}^{(p_{123})\dagger} \to e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L} = e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi p_{123}n_3}{N_{123}}}$	$S_{N_2}^{(p_{123})}(D_{N_1}^{(p_{123})})^{N_{123}}S_{N_2}^{(p_{123})\dagger} \to e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L} = 1$	No

TABLE II: The phase $e^{i\Theta_L}$ on a domain wall D_u acted by Z_{N_v} symmetry S_v. This phase is computed at the left kink (the site r_1). The first column lists SPT class labels p. The second and the third columns show the computation of phases. The last column interprets whether the phase indicates a nontrivial induced Z_N charge. Only Type II SPT class with $p_{12} \neq 0$ contains nontrivial induced Z_{N_2} charge with a unit of $\mathbf{p_{12}}/\mathbf{N_{12}}$ trapped at the kink of Z_{N_1}-symmetry breaking domain walls. Here $N_{12} \equiv \gcd(N_1, N_2)$ and $N_{123} \equiv \gcd(N_1, N_2, N_3)$.

In Table II, although we obtain $e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta_L}$ for each type, but there are some words of caution for interpreting it.

(i) For Type I class, with the Z_{N_1}-symmetry breaking domain wall, there is no notion of induced Z_{N_1} charge since there is no Z_{N_1}-symmetry (already broken) to respect.

(ii) For Type II class, we consider Z_{N_1}-symmetry breaking domain wall (broken to a unit of $\Delta\phi_1 = 2\pi/N_{12}$), and find that there is induced Z_{N_2} charge with a unit of $\mathbf{p_{12}}/\mathbf{N_{12}}$, consistent with field theory approach in Eq.(71),(75). For a total winding is $\int_0^L dx\,\partial\phi_x = 2\pi$, there is also a nontrivial induced $\mathbf{p_{12}}$ units of Z_{N_2} charge. Suppose a soliton generate this winding number 1 domain wall profile, even if $p_{12} = N_{12}$ is identified as the trivial class as $p_{12} = 0$, we can observe a $\mathbf{N_{12}}$ units of Z_{N_2} charge, which is in general still not a N_2 units of Z_{N_2} charge. This phenomena has no analogs in Type I and Type II, and can be traced back to the last paragraph discussion in Sec.III C.

(iii) For Type III class, with a Z_{N_1}-symmetry breaking domain wall: On one hand, the Θ_L phase written in terms of Z_{N_2} or Z_{N_3} charge unit is non-fractionalized but integer. On the other hand, we will find in Sec.VI B that the Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} symmetry transformation surprisingly no longer commute. So there is no proper notion of induced Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} charge at all in the Type III class.

VI. DEGENERATE ZERO ENERGY MODES (PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATION) - TYPE III BOSONIC ANOMALY

We apply the tools we develop in Sec.II,III,IV to study the physical measurements for Type III bosonic anomaly.

A. Field theory approach: Degenerate zero energy modes trapped at the kink of Z_N symmetry-breaking Domain Walls

We propose the experimental/numerical signature for certain SPT with Type III symmetric class $p_{123} \neq 0$ under the case of (at least) three symmetry group $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3}$. Under the presence of a Z_{N_1} symmetry-breaking domain wall (without losing generality, we can also assume it to be any Z_{N_u}), we can detect that the remained unbroken symmetry Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} carry projective representation. More precisely, under the Z_{N_1} domain-wall profile,

$$\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_1 = \phi_1(L) - \phi_1(0) = 2\pi\frac{n_1}{N_1}, \tag{76}$$

and then we compute the commutator between two unbroken symmetry transformation

$$[S_{N_2}^{(p_{231})}, S_{N_3}^{(p_{312})}] = \mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi\, n_1}{N_{123}}p_{123}, \tag{77}$$

where we identify the index $(p_{231}+p_{312})\to p_{123}$ as the same one. This non-commutative relation indicates that $S_{N_2}^{(p_{231})}$ and $S_{N_3}^{(p_{312})}$ are not in a linear representation, but in a projective representation of Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} symmetry. This is analogous to the commutator $[T_x,T_y]$ of magnetic translations T_x, T_y along x,y direction on a $\mathbb{T}^2$ torus for filling fraction $1/k$ fractional quantum hall (level-k Chern-Simons theory):

$$[T_x,T_y]=\mathrm{i}\,2\pi/k, \tag{78}$$

where one studies its the ground states on a $\mathbb{T}^2$ torus with a compactified x and y direction gives k-fold degeneracy. Similarly, for Eq.(77) we have a $\mathbb{T}^2$ torus compactified in ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 directions, such that:
(i) $\frac{2\pi\ n_1}{N_{123}}p_{123}$ factor means a projective phase for the trapped zero modes at the domain wall.
(ii) There is a N_{123}-fold degeneracy for zero energy modes at the domain wall.

We shall say these are the signatures of Type III bosonic anomaly.

B. Cocycle approach: Degenerate zero energy modes from Z_N symmetry-preserving monodromy defect (branch cut) - dimensional reduction from 2D to 1D

In Sec.VI B, we had shown the symmetry-breaking domain wall would induce degenerate zero energy modes for Type III SPT. In this section, we will further show that, a symmetry-preserving Z_{N_1} flux insertion (or a monodromy defect or branch cut modifying the Hamiltonian as in Ref.15,30) can also have degenerate zero energy modes. This is the case that, see Fig.7, when we put the system on a 2D cylinder and dimensionally reduce it to a 1D line along the monodromy defect. In this case there is no domain wall, and the Z_{N_1} symmetry is not broken (but only translational symmetry is broken near the monodromy defect / branch cut).

In the below discussion, we will directly use 3-cocycles ω_3 from cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(G,\mathrm{U}(1))$ to detect the Type III bosonic anomaly. For convenience we use the non-homogeneous cocycles (the lattice gauge theory cocycles), though there is no difficulty to convert it to homogeneous cocycles (SPT cocycles). The definition of the lattice gauge theory n-cocycles are indeed related to SPT n-cocycles:[1,30,35,36]

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_n(A_1,A_2,\dots,A_n)=\nu_n(A_1A_2\dots A_n,A_2\dots A_n,\dots,A_n,1)\\&=\nu_n(\tilde{A}_1,\tilde{A}_2,\dots,\tilde{A}_n,1)\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

here $\tilde{A}_j\equiv A_jA_{j+1}\dots A_n$. For 3-cocycles

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_3(A,B,C)=\nu_3(ABC,BC,C,1)\\&\Rightarrow\omega_3(g_{01},g_{12},g_{23})=\omega_3(g_0g_1^{-1},g_1g_2^{-1},g_2g_3^{-1})\\&=\nu_3(g_0g_3^{-1},g_1g_3^{-1},g_2g_3^{-1},1)=\nu_3(g_0,g_1,g_2,g_3)\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$



FIG. 7: (a) The induced 2-cocycle from a 2+1D $M^3=M^2\times R^1$ topology with a symmetry-preserving Z_{N_u} flux A insertion (b) Here $M^2=S^1\times R^1$ is a 2D spatial cylinder, composed by A and B, with another extra time dimension R^1. Along the B-line we insert a monodromy defect of Z_{N_1}, such that A has a nontrivial group element value $A=g_{1'}g_1^{-1}=g_{2'}g_2^{-1}=g_{3'}g_3^{-1}\in Z_{N_1}$. The induced 2-cocycle $\beta_A(B,C)$ is a nontrivial element in $\mathcal{H}^2(Z_{N_v}\times Z_{N_w},\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}_{N_{vw}}$ (here u,v,w cyclic as $\epsilon^{uvw}=1$), thus which carries a projective representation. (c) A monodromy defect can viewed as a branch cut induced by a Φ_B flux insertion (both modifying the Hamiltonians). (d) This means that when we do dimensional reduction on the compact ring S^1 and view the reduced system as a 1D line segment, there are N_{123} degenerate zero energy modes (due to the nontrivial projective representation).

Here $A=g_{01}$, $B=g_{12}$, $C=g_{23}$, with $g_{ab}\equiv g_ag_b^{-1}$. We use the fact that SPT n-cocycle ν_n belongs to the G-module, such that for r are group elements of G, it obeys $r\cdot\nu_n(r_0,r_1,\dots,r_{n-1},1)=\nu(rr_0,rr_1,\dots,rr_{n-1},r)$ (here we consider only Abelian group $G=\prod_i Z_i$). In our case, we do not have time reversal symmetry, so group action g on the G-module is trivial.

In short, there is no obstacle so that we can simply use the lattice gauge theory 3-cocycle $\omega(A,B,C)$ to study the SPT 3-cocycle $\nu(ABC,BC,C,1)$. Our goal is to design a geometry of 3-manifold $M^3=M^2\times R^1$ with M^2 the 2D cylinder with flux insertion (or monodromy defect) and with the R^1 time direction (see Fig.7(a)) with a sets of 3-cocycles as tetrahedra filling this geometry (Fig.8). All we need to do is computing the 2+1D SPT path integral $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{SPT}}$ (i.e. partition function) using 3-cocycles ω_3,[30]

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{SPT}}=|G|^{-N_v}\sum_{\{g_v\}}\prod_i(\omega_3{}^{s_i}(\{g_{v_a}g_{v_b}^{-1}\})) \tag{81}$$

Here $|G|$ is the order of the symmetry group, N_v is the number of vertices, ω_3 is 3-cocycle, and s_i is the exponent 1 or -1(i.e. †) depending on the orientation of each tetrahedron(3-simplex). The summing over group elements g_v on the vertex produces a symmetry-perserving ground state. We consider a specific M^3, a 3-complex of Fig.7(a), which can be decomposed into tetrahedra (each as a 3-simplex) shown in Fig.8. There the 3-dimensional spacetime manifold is under triangulation (or cellularization) into three tetrahedra, and there is a specific way (called *branching structure*) to determine the orientation of tetrahedron, thus determine the sign of s_i for

3-cocycles ${\omega_3}^{s_i}$.



FIG. 8: The triangulation of a $M^3 = M^2 \times R^1$ topology (here M^2 is a spatial cylinder composed by the A and B direction, with a R^1 time) into three tetrahedra with branched structures.

We now go back to remark that the 3-cocycle condition in Eq.(3) indeed means that the path integral $\mathbf{Z}_{\rm SPT}$ on the 3-sphere S^3 (as the surface the 4-ball B^4) will be trivial as 1. The 3-coboundary condition in Eq.(4) means to identify the same topological terms (i.e. 3-cocycle) up to total derivative terms. The orientation of tetrahedra in Eq.(82),(84) can be determined by taking a sequence of the indices counterclockwisely of a cornered triangle, and append the remained index to the front. If the sequence is in the order of 0-1-2-3 after even permutation, then the orientation is positive; if it is in the order after odd permutation, then the orientation is negative. The 3-cocycles are evaluated on a tetrahedron (a 3-simplex) by the following branching structure:[37]



(82)

$$= \omega_3(g_0{g_1}^{-1}, g_1{g_2}^{-1}, g_2{g_3}^{-1}) \tag{83}$$



(84)

$$= \omega_3(g_0{g_1}^{-1}, g_1{g_2}^{-1}, g_2{g_3}^{-1})^{-1} \tag{85}$$

In Eq.(82), a branched simplex has a positive orientation (taking the upright triangle 1-2-3, and attach 0 in the front, its sequence is 0-1-2-3), which renders ω_3 in Eq.(83). In Eq.(84), a branched simplex has a negative orientation (taking the upright triangle 0-2-3, and attach 1 in the front, its sequence is 1-0-2-3), which renders ${\omega_3}^{-1}$ in Eq.(85).

Now we can do the computation of the induced 2-cocycle (the dimensional reduced 1+1D path integral) with a given inserted flux A, determined from three tetrahedra of 3-cocycles, see Fig.8 and Eq.(86).

$$\beta_A(B,C) = \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \tag{86}$$



$$= \frac{\omega(A,B,C)^{-1}\cdot\omega(ABA^{-1},A,C)}{\omega(ABA^{-1},ACA^{-1},A)} = \frac{\omega(B,A,C)}{\omega(A,B,C)\omega(B,C,A)} = \frac{\omega(g_1g_2^{-1},g_{1'}g_1^{-1},g_2g_3^{-1})}{\omega(g_{1'}g_1^{-1},g_1g_2^{-1},g_2g_3^{-1})\omega(g_1g_2^{-1},g_2g_3^{-1},g_{1'}g_1^{-1})} \tag{87}$$

We show that among the Type I, II, III 3-cocycles discussed in Sec.II, only when ω_3 is the Type III 3-cocycle

$\omega_{\rm III}$ (of Eq.9), this induced 2-cochain is nontrivial (i.e. a 2-cocycle but not a 2-coboundary). In that case,

$$\beta_A(B,C)=\exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{N_{123}}(b_1a_2c_3-a_1b_2c_3-b_1c_2a_3)] \quad (88)$$

If we insert Z_{N_1} flux $A=(a_1,0,0)$, then we shall compare Eq.(88) with the nontrivial 2-cocycle $\omega_2(B,C)$ in $\mathcal{H}^2(Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3},\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}_{N_{23}}$,

$$\omega_2(B,C)=\exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{N_{23}}(b_2c_3)]. \quad (89)$$

The $\beta_A(B,C)$ is indeed nontrivial 2-cocycle as $\omega_2(B,C)$ in the second cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2(Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3},\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}_{N_{23}}$, which implies a projective representation of the symmetry group $Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}$. The same argument holds when A is Z_{N_2} flux or Z_{N_3} flux. The projective representation of symmetry implies that there is the nontrivial ground state degeneracy if we view the system as a dimensionally-reduced 1D line segment as in Fig.7(d). From N_{123} factor in Eq.(88), we conclude there is N_{123}-fold degenerated zero energy modes.

We should make two more remarks:
(i) The precise 1+1D path integral is actually summing over g_v with a fixed flux A as $\mathbf{Z}_{\rm SPT}=|G|^{-N_v}\sum_{\{g_v\};\text{fixed }A}\beta_A(B,C)$, but overall our discussion above still holds.
(ii) We have used 3-cocycle to construct a symmetry-preserving SPT ground state under Z_{N_1} flux insertion. We can see that indeed a Z_{N_1} symmetry-breaking domain wall of Fig.9 can be done in almost the same calculation - using 3-cocycles filling a 2+1D spacetime complex(Fig.9(a)). Although there in Fig.9(a), we need to fix the group elements $g_1=g_2$ on one side (in the time independent domain wall profile, we need to fix $g_1=g_2=g_3$) and/or fix $g_1'=g_2'$ on the other side. Remarkably, we conclude that both the **Z_{N_1}-symmetry-preserving flux insertion** and Z_{N_1} **symmetry-breaking domain wall** both provides a N_{123}-fold degenerate ground states (from the nontrivial projective representation for the Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} symmetry). The symmetry-breaking case is consitent with Sec.VI B.

VII. FLUX INSERTION AND NON-DYNAMICAL "GAUGING" THE NON-ONSITE SYMMETRY - TYPE I, II, III CLASS OBSERVABLES

With the Type I, Type II, Type III SPT lattice model built in Sec.III, IV, in principle we can perform numerical simulations to measure their physical observables, such as (i) the energy spectrum, (ii) the entanglement entropy and (iii) the central charge of the edge states. Those are the physical observables for the "untwisted sectors", and we would like to further achieve more physical observables on the lattice, by applying the parallel discussion in Ref.15, using Z_N gauge flux insertions through the



FIG. 9: The Z_{N_1} **symmetry breaking** domain wall along the red × mark and/or orange + mark, which induces N_{123}-fold degenerate zero energy modes. The situation is very similar to Fig.7 (however, there was Z_{N_1} **symmetry-preerving** flux insertion). We show that both cases the induced 2-cochain from calculating path integral $\mathbf{Z}_{\rm SPT}$ renders a nontrivial 2-cocycle of $\mathcal{H}^2(Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3},\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}_{N_{23}}$, thus carrying nontrivial projective representation of symmetry.

1D ring. (see also Ref.38) The gauge flux insertion on the SPT edge states (lattice Hamiltonian) is like *gauging its non-onsite symmetry in a non-dynamical way*. We emphasize that *gauging in a non-dynamical way* because the gauge flux is not a local degree of freedom on each site, but a global effect. The Hamiltonian affected by gauge flux insertions can be realized as the Hamiltonian with twisted boundary conditions, see an analogy made in Fig.10. Another way to phrase the flux insertion is that it creates a monodromy defect[30] (or a branch cut) which modify both the bulk and the edge Hamiltonian. Here we formulate the twisted boundary conditions on the Type I, Type II, Type III SPT lattice model of Sec.III, IV. The twisted Hamiltonians can capture physical signatures affected by gauge flux.



FIG. 10: (a) Thread a gauge flux Φ_B through a 1D ring (the boundary of 2D SPT). (b) The gauge flux is effectively captured by a branch cut (the dashed line in the blue color). Twisted boundary condition is applied on the branch cut. The (a) and (b) are equivalent in the sense that both cases capture the equivalent physical observables, such as the energy spectrum.

We firstly review the work done in Ref.15 of Type I SPT class and then extends it to Type II, III class. We aim to build a lattice model with twisted boundary conditions to capture the edge states physics in the presence of a unit of Z_N flux insertion. Since the gauge flux effectively introduces a branch cut breaking the translational symmetry of T (as shown in Fig. 10), the gauged



FIG. 11: The illustration of effective 1D lattice model with M-sites on a compact ring under a discrete Z_N flux insertion. We consider the gauge flux insertion is effectively captured by a branch cut located between the site-M and the site-1. This results in a Z_N variable ω insertion as a twist effect modifying the lattice Hamiltonian around the site-M and the site-1.

(or twisted) Hamiltonian, say $\tilde{H}_N^{(p)}$, is *not* invariant respect to translational operator T, say $[\tilde{H}_N^{(p)}, T] \neq 0$. The challenge of constructing $\tilde{H}_N^{(p)}$ is to firstly find a new (so-called *magnetic or twisted*) translation operator $\tilde{T}^{(p)}$ incorporating the gauge flux effect at the branch cut, in Fig. 10 (b) and in Fig.11, say the branch cut is between the site-M and the site-1. We propose two principles to construct the twisted lattice model. The first general principle is that a string of M units of *twisted translation operator* $\tilde{T}^{(p)}$ renders a *twisted symmetry transformation* $\tilde{S}_N^{(p)}$ incorporating a Z_N unit flux,

$$\bullet\ \tilde{S}_N^{(p)} \equiv (\tilde{T}^{(p)})^M = \tilde{S}_N^{(p)} \cdot (U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}[\sigma_M^\dagger \sigma_1])^{-1} \cdot U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}[\omega \sigma_M^\dagger \sigma_1], \tag{90}$$

with the unitary operator $(\tilde{T}^{(p)})$, i.e. $(\tilde{T}^{(p)})^\dagger \tilde{T}^{(p)} = \mathbb{1}$. We clarify that $U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}$ is from Eq.(18), where $U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}[\dots] \equiv U_{M,1}^{(N,p)} \circ [\dots]$ means $U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}$ is a function of $\dots$ variables. For example, $U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}[\omega \sigma_M^\dagger \sigma_1]$ means that the variable $\sigma_M^\dagger \sigma_1$ in Eq.(18) is replaced by $\omega \sigma_M^\dagger \sigma_1$ with an extra ω insertion. The second principle is that the twisted Hamiltonian is invariant respect to the twisted translation operator, thus also invariant respect to twisted symmetry transformation, i.e.

$$\bullet\quad [\tilde{H}_N^{(p)}, \tilde{T}^{(p)}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{H}_N^{(p)}, \tilde{S}_N^{(p)}] = 0. \tag{91}$$

We solve Eq.(90) by finding the twisted lattice translation operator

$$\tilde{T}^{(p)} = T\,(U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}(\sigma_M^\dagger \sigma_1))\tau_1, \tag{92}$$

for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ classes. For the s units of Z_N flux, we have the generalization of $\tilde{T}^{(p)}$ from a unit Z_N flux as,

$$\tilde{T}^{(p)}|_s = T\,(U_{M,1}^{(N,p)}[\sigma_M^\dagger \sigma_1])^s\,\tau_1^s. \tag{93}$$

Indeed, there is no difficulty to extend this construction to Type II, III class. For Type II SPT classes (with nonzero indices p_{12} and p_{21} of Eq.(19), (21), while $p_1 = p_2 = 0$) the non-onsite symmetry transformation can be reduced from NNN to NN coupling term $U_{j,j+2}^{(N_1,p_{12})} \to U_{j,j+1}^{(N_1,p_{12})}$, also from $U_{j,j+2}^{(N_2,p_{21})} \to U_{j,j+1}^{(N_2,p_{21})}$. The Type II twisted symmetry transformation has exactly the same form as Eq.(90) except replacing the U. For Type III SPT classes, the Type III twisted symmetry transformation also has the same form as Eq.(90) except replacing the U to W in Eq.(52). The second principle in Eq.(91) also follows. (For lattice regularization, more details in Appendix.B.)

Twisted Hamiltonian

The twisted Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_{N_1,N_2}^{(p_1,p_2,p_{12})}$ can be readily constructed from $H_{N_1,N_2}^{(p_1,p_2,p_{12})}$ of Eq. (33), with the condition Eq.(91). (An explicit example for Type I SPT 1D lattice Hamiltonian with a gauge flux insertion has been derived in Ref. 15, which we shall not repeat it here.)

Notice that the twisted non-trivial Hamiltonian breaks the SPT global symmetry (i.e. if $p \neq 0 \bmod(N)$, then $[\tilde{H}_N^{(p)}, S_N^{(p)}] \neq 0$), which can be regarded as the sign of Z_N anomaly.[24] On the other hand, in the trivial state $p = 0$, Eq. (90) yields $\tilde{S}_N^{(p=0)} = S_N^{(p=0)} = \prod_{j=1}^M \tau_j$, where the twisted trivial Hamiltonian still *commutes* with the global Z_N onsite symmetry, and the twisted boundary effect is nothing but the usual toroidal boundary conditions.[39] (See also a discussion along the context of SPT and the orbifolds.[40])

VIII. SUMMARY

Quantum anomalies are recently been re-emphasized to be intimately related to classify and characterize symmetry protected topological (SPT) states and topologically ordered states.[24] While fermionic anomalies are more familiar to the high-energy particle physics communities (such as Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly, observed in the pion decay, etc), the bosonic anomalies in our work is less discussed in the literature. (After the completion of our work, we notice some recent papers also discuss the issues of anomalies in the context of SPT.[41–43] There are also other context of anomaly in interacting SPT system, such as bosonic[44] or interacting fractional topological insulator.[45]) For particle physicists, one may attempt to compute the anomaly effect through (i) a 1-loop Feynman diagram or (ii) Fujikawa path integral method by a Jacobi integral measure variation under the symmetry transformation. (This computation shows some seemly-conserved symmetry at the classical level may render a non-conserved anomalous current breaking the symmetry in the quantum level. See a concise discussion using both high energy and condensed matter languages in Sec. VI of Ref.46.) However, here instead we seek another route, a full bosonic language, to capture bosonic anomalies. We ask *what are the anomalous signals for these bosonic anomalies*. The result is summarized in Table III.

Group Cohomology	Bosonic Anomalies and Physical Observables			
3-cocycle	p in $\mathcal{H}^3(G,\mathrm{U}(1))$	induced fractional charge	degenerate zero energy modes	$\tilde{\Delta}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ under flux/monodromy
Type I p_1: Eq.(7)	$\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}$	No	No	Yes
Type II p_{12}: Eq.(8)	$\mathbb{Z}_{N_{12}}$	Yes. $\frac{p_{12}}{N_{12}}$ of Z_{N_2} charge.	No	Yes
Type III p_{123}: Eq.(9)	$\mathbb{Z}_{N_{123}}$	No	Yes. N_{123} degeneracy.	Yes

TABLE III: A summary of bosonic anomalies as physical observables to detect the SPT of $G = Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2} \times Z_{N_3}$ symmetry, here we use p_i, p_{ij}, p_{ijk} to label the SPT class index in the third cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(G,\mathrm{U}(1))$. For Type II class $p_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_{12}}$, we can use a unit of Z_{N_1}-symmetry-breaking domain wall to induce a $\frac{p_{12}}{N_{12}}$ fractional Z_{N_2} charge, see Sec.V. For Type III class $p_{123} \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_{123}}$, we can either use Z_{N_1}-symmetry-breaking domain wall or use Z_{N_1}-symmetry-preserving flux insertion[47] (effectively a monodromy defect) through 1D ring to trap N_{123} multiple degenerate zero energy modes, see Sec.VI. For Type I class $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}$, our proposed physical observable is the energy spectrum (or conformal dimension $\tilde{\Delta}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ as a function of momentum $\mathcal{P}$, see Ref.15) shift under the flux insertion. This energy spectral shift also works for all other (Type II, Type III) classes, see Sec.VII. This table serves as topological invariants for Type I, II, III bosonic SPT in the context of Ref.30.

We found that the classic model studied by Jackiw-Rebbi[34] or Goldstone-Wilczek[29] offers a similar prototype observable to detect bosonic SPT. More precisely, the induced fractional quantum number is found in the Type II SPT class (p_{12} class in $G = Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$ symmetry). For Type II SPT, the Z_{N_1}-symmetry-breaking domain wall will gap the edge and then induce a $\frac{p_{12}}{N_{12}}$ fractional unit of Z_{N_2} charge (Fig.3).

On the other hand, there is also another class, named Type III SPT, provides a different phenomena. The N_{123}-fold degenerate ground state is induced from either the symmetry-breaking domain wall on the 1D edges (Fig.9) or the symmetry-preservingmonodromy defect connecting edges through the bulk of a cylinder (which can be viewed as a dimensional-reduced 1D line system in Fig.7). We show that the induced projective representation of symmetry under the above two circumstances implies the N_{123}-fold degenerate zero energy modes.[47]

For Type II and Type III SPT class, we can classify/characterize them by dimensionally reduction to a lower dimensional boundary and look for its induced quantum number or topological defects(similar effects happen in Majorana zero modes for free-fermion SPT cass[48]). For Type I class $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}$, however, the physical observables we found so far is a bulk probe, instead of having a dimensional-reduction to lower dimensional system trapped with nontrivial quantum number. For Type I SPT probe, either the flux insertion goes through the bulk cylinder, or the branch cut/monodromy defects connects from the edges to the bulk (Fig.12). One can calculation the conformal dimension $\tilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{P})$(both analytically and numerically) as a function of momentum $\mathcal{P}$,[49] in the twisted sector under monodromy defects we can show that each SPT class has distinct spectral shift.[15]

Meanwhile, this type of probe such as flux insertion/monodromy defect which connects from the boundary to the bulk is essentially a signal of edge anomalous



FIG. 12: The intuitive way to view the bulk-boundary correspondence for edge states of SPT or topological order under the flux insertion, or equivalently the monodromy defect / branch cut (blue dashed line) modifying the Hamiltonian. A large sphere with two holes with flux-in and flux-out, is analogous to, a cylinder with Laughlin type flux insertion. See also Sec. VI in Ref.46.

physics. In a sense, we develop an *effective 1D lattice Hamiltonian* which signals the existence of higher dimensional bulk. Just like the edge chiral boson theory signals the bulk Chern-Simons theory. Another way to interpret this is the non-onsite symmetry realization on the edge. That is why it is difficult to *gauge the non-onsite symmetry locally and dynamically* (see Ref.46 for a connection between Ginsparg-Wilson fermions and SPT). However, we are able to achieve *gauging the non-onsite symmetry through a flux insertion/monodromy defect.* This is yet another way to interpret the edge anomaly - the 1D edge modified twisted Hamiltonian incorporating a branch cut does *not* preserve the original symmetry G (i.e. $[\tilde{H}_N^{(p)}, S_N^{(p)}] \neq 0$ in Sec.VII). However, one can read-

ily check the full bulk-edge description such as a cylinder with two edges in Fig.12 will preserve the symmetry G (i.e. $[\tilde{H}^{(p)}_{N,\text{cilinder}}, S^{(p)}_N] = 0$).

We emphasize that all our SPT edge lattice constructions are successfully regularized on discrete space lattice with finite dimensional Hilbert space on the 1D ring. The regularization is done by properly realizing the non-onsitie symmetry. All our lattice models are ready for performing non-perturbative numerical simulations. It will be interesting to numerically study its physical observables to detect the distinct SPT classes. Also to study the charge transport with two edges on the cylinder *talking to each other* by quantum number pumping process in Fig.12. This full-understanding address what we mean by *quantum anomalies* as *some lower dimensional space-time theory can leak certain quantum number to an extra dimensional bulk* .

Symmetry transformation and charge vectors q and Q: We shall stress that the edge Type III symmetry transformation involves with a new kind of symmetry charge Q coupling as $Q\int \epsilon^{uvw}\partial_x\phi_v(x)\phi_w(x)dx$ in the current term Eq.(63), which is rather distinct from the conventional symmetry charge q coupling as $q\int \partial_x\phi_u(x)dx$. While the work done in Ref.16,18 cannot accommodate Type III class ($p_{123}\neq 0$) SPT, our approach with a new charge vector Q goes beyond thus obtains the new refined classification for the field theory.

In the following work,[50] we will study the bulk-edge correspondence of these bosonic SPT. In particular, we formulate the bulk Chern-Simons theory of these SPT states and its gauged version of topological gauge theory with a generic finite Abelian group $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}\times\ldots$ symmetry. We will show that gauging a Type III ($p_{123}\neq 0$) bosonic SPT with Abelian group symmetry $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}$ results in a non-Abelian Chern-Simons theory with non-Abelian braiding statistics among anyons.[50]

Acknowledgements

JW thanks Frank Wilczek for an inspiring discussion some years ago about Goldstone-Wilczek method. JW also thanks Ling-Yan Hung for very helpful feedback on the manuscript. This research is supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-1005541, NSFC 11074140, and NSFC 11274192. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development & Innovation.

Appendix

Appendix A: Matrix Product Operators and Lattice Regularization

In this Appendix, we provide detailed calculation about the Matrix Product Operators(MPO) formalism. Contracting three neighbored sites tensor $T(g_a), T(g_b), T(g_c)$ of G-symmetry transformation S (with $g\in G$) in different order will render a relative projective phase. Importantly, if this phase is nontrivial 3-cocycle, then it readily verifies that our lattice construction maps to the nontrivial class of cohomology group. We also show the details of lattice regularizations in Sec.III, IV.

We now formulate the unitary operator $S^{(p)}_N$ as the MPO with the form:

$$S^{(p)}_N = \sum_{\{j,j'\}} \text{tr}[T^{j_1 j'_1}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} T^{j_2 j'_2}_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\ldots T^{j_M j'_M}_{\alpha_M\alpha_1}]|j'_1,\ldots,j'_M\rangle\langle j_1,\ldots,j_M|. \tag{A1}$$

This is the operator formalism of matrix product states(MPS). Here *physical indices* $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M$ and $j'_1, j'_2, \ldots, j'_M$ are labeled by input/output physical eigenvalues (here Z_N rotor angle), the subindices $1, 2, \ldots, M$ are the physical site indices. There are also *virtual indices* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_M$ which are traced in the end. Summing over all the operation from $\{j, j'\}$ indices, we suppose to reproduce the symmetry transformation operator $S^{(p)}_N$.

To find out the projective representation $e^{i\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$. Below we use the facts of tensors $T(g_a), T(g_b), T(g_c)$ acting on three neighbored sites a, b, c with group elements g_a, g_b, g_c. There is a generic projective relation

$$T(g_a\cdot g_b) = P^\dagger_{g_a,g_b} T(g_a)T(g_b)P_{g_a,g_b}. \tag{A2}$$

Here P_{g_a,g_b} is the projection operator. We contract three neighbored-site tensors in two different orders,

$$(P_{g_a,g_b}\otimes I_3)P_{g_a g_b,g_c} \simeq e^{i\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}(I_1\otimes P_{g_b,g_c})P_{g_a,g_b g_c}. \tag{A3}$$

The left-hand-side contracts the sites a, b first then with the site c, while the right-hand-side contracts the sites b, c first then with the site a. Here $\simeq$ means the equivalence is up to a projection out of un-parallel states. If the projective phase $e^{i\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ happens to be the nontrivial 3-cocycle in cohomology group, then we reach our goal - this verifies that our SPT lattice constructions (thus also the low energy field theory) maps to the nontrivial class of the cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(G, \text{U}(1))$. This is the emphasis of this Appendix.

1. Type I class-

For Type I Z_N symmetry class, the tensor $T(g)$ is[14,15] ($g = \frac{2\pi k}{N}$ with $k\in Z_N$, for the Z_N angle element $\frac{2\pi k}{N}$)

$$(T^{\phi_{in},\phi_{out}})^{(p)}_{\varphi_\alpha,\varphi_\beta,N}(\frac{2\pi k}{N}) = \delta(\phi_{out}-\phi_{in}-\frac{2\pi}{N}k) \cdot\int d\varphi_\alpha d\varphi_\beta|\varphi_\beta\rangle\langle\varphi_\alpha|\delta(\varphi_\beta-\phi_{in})e^{\text{i}pk(\varphi_\alpha-\phi_{in})_r/N} \tag{A4}$$

To verify, we compute $S_N^{(p)}$ from the tensor T,

$$S_N^{(p)} = \sum_{\{j,j'\}} \mathrm{tr}[T^{\phi_{in}^1,\phi_{out}^1}_{\varphi_{\alpha_1}\varphi_{\alpha_2}} T^{\phi_{in}^2,\phi_{out}^2}_{\varphi_{\alpha_2}\varphi_{\alpha_3}} \dots T^{\phi_{in}^M,\phi_{out}^M}_{\varphi_{\alpha_M}\varphi_{\alpha_1}}] |\phi_{out}^1,\phi_{out}^2,\dots,\phi_{out}^M\rangle\langle\phi_{in}^1,\phi_{in}^2,\dots,\phi_{in}^M| \tag{A5}$$

$$= e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{p}{N}\left((\phi_{in}^2-\phi_{in}^1)_r+(\phi_{in}^3-\phi_{in}^2)_r+\cdots+(\phi_{in}^1-\phi_{in}^M)_r\right)} |\phi_{in}^1+\frac{2\pi}{N},\phi_{in}^2+\frac{2\pi}{N},\dots,\phi_{in}^M+\frac{2\pi}{N}\rangle\langle\phi_{in}^1,\phi_{in}^2,\dots,\phi_{in}^M| \tag{A6}$$

$$= \prod_j \exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p}{N}(\phi_{1,j+1}-\phi_{1,j})_r] \cdot \prod_j e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi L_j/N}. \tag{A7}$$

where we substitute $|\dots,\phi_{in}^j+\frac{2\pi}{N},\dots\rangle\langle\dots,\phi_{in}^j,\dots|$ operator as its equivalence: the angular rotation operator $\prod_j e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi L_j/N}$. To find out the projective representation $e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$. Below we use the facts of tensors $T(g_a), T(g_b), T(g_c)$ acting on three neighbored sites a, b, c with group elements g_a, g_b, g_c. We know a generic projective relation

$$T(g_a\cdot g_b) = P^\dagger_{g_a,g_b} T(g_a)T(g_b)P_{g_a,g_b}. \tag{A8}$$

Here P_{g_a,g_b} is the projection operator. We derive P_{g_a,g_b} by observing that P_{g_a,g_b} inputs one state and outputs two states. It has the expected form,

$$P^{(p)}_{N,m_a,m_b} = \int d\phi_{in} |\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}m_b\rangle|\phi_{in}\rangle\langle\phi_{in}| \cdot e^{-\mathrm{i}p\phi_{in}[m_a+m_b-[m_a+m_b]_N]/N}, \tag{A9}$$

where $[m_a+m_b]_N$ with subindex N means taking the value module N. We contract three neighbored-site tensors in two different orders,

$$(P_{g_a,g_b}\otimes I_3)P_{g_ag_b,g_c} \simeq e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}(I_1\otimes P_{g_b,g_c})P_{g_a,g_bg_c}. \tag{A10}$$

The left-hand-side contracts the sites $1,2$ first then with the site 3, while the right-hand-side contracts the sites $2,3$ first then with the site 1. Here $\simeq$ means the equivalence is up to a projection out of un-parallel states. In order to derive $e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ of Type I symmetry class, we firstly contract $T_N^{(p)}(m_a)$ and $T_N^{(p)}(m_b)$, and then the combined tensor contracts with $T_N^{(p)}(m_c)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&(P_{g_a,g_b}\otimes I_3)P_{g_ag_b,g_c}\\ &= \int d\phi_{in}|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}(m_b+m_c)\rangle|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}m_c\rangle|\phi_{in}\rangle\langle\phi_{in}|\\ &\cdot e^{-\mathrm{i}p\phi_{in}(m_a+m_b+m_c-[m_a+m_b+m_c]_N)}\\ &\cdot e^{-\mathrm{i}p\frac{2\pi}{N}m_c\frac{m_a+m_b-[m_a+m_b]_N}{N}},\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

which form inputs one state $\langle\phi_{in}|$ and outputs three states $|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}(m_b+m_c)\rangle$, $|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}m_c\rangle$ and $|\phi_{in}\rangle$. On the other hand, one can firstly contract $T_N^{(p)}(m_b)$ and $T_N^{(p)}(m_c)$, and then the combined tensor contracts with $T_N^{(p)}(m_a)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&(I_1\otimes P_{g_b,g_c})P_{g_a,g_bg_c}\\ &= \int d\phi_{in}|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}(m_b+m_c)\rangle|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}m_c\rangle|\phi_{in}\rangle\langle\phi_{in}|\\ &\cdot e^{-\mathrm{i}p\phi_{in}(m_a+m_b+m_c-[m_a+m_b+m_c]_N)},\end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

again which form inputs one state $\langle\phi_{in}|$ and outputs three states $|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}(m_b+m_c)\rangle$, $|\phi_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N}m_c\rangle$ and $|\phi_{in}\rangle$. Based on Eq.(A10), we can derive $e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ in Eq.(A13). We now verify:

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)} = e^{-\mathrm{i}p\frac{2\pi}{N}m_c\frac{m_a+m_b-[m_a+m_b]_N}{N}} = \omega_{\mathrm{I}}^{(i)}(m_c,m_a,m_b) \tag{A13}$$

which the complex phase in the projective representation indeed induces the Type I 3-cocycle $\omega_{\mathrm{I}}^{(i)}(m_c,m_a,m_b)$ in the third cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_N,\mathrm{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$. (Up to the index redefinition $p\to -p$.) We thus derive that the projective representation $e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ from MPS tensors corresponds to the group cohomology approach.[1] The inserted p index is an available index with $p = p \bmod N$, which is indeed the classification index for the p-th of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ class in the third cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_N,\mathrm{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$ as we claimed earlier. This demonstrates that our lattice construction fulfills all $\mathbb{Z}_N$ classes of SPT with Z_N-symmetry, as we desired.

2. Type II class-

We first comment that the $Z_{N_{12}}$ operators $\tilde\sigma_j^{(1)}$, $\tilde\sigma_j^{(2)}$ in the lattice regularization for Type II symmetry transformation in Sec.III A, are explicitly as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_j^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{12}^{\gcd(N_1,N_2)-1} \end{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{12}^{\gcd(N_1,N_2)-1} \end{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{12}^{\gcd(N_1,N_2)-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}_j = \langle \phi_{1,j} | e^{\mathrm{i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,j}} | \phi_{1,j} \rangle, \quad \text{(A14)}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_j^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{12}^{\gcd(N_1,N_2)-1} \end{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{12}^{\gcd(N_1,N_2)-1} \end{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{12}^{\gcd(N_1,N_2)-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}_j = \langle \phi_{2,j} | e^{\mathrm{i}\tilde{\phi}_{2,j}} | \phi_{2,j} \rangle. \quad \text{(A15)}$$

We now verify that our symmetry transformations Eq.(19)(21) (thus the lattice Hamiltonian Eq.(33)) corresponds to non-trivial 3-cocycles in the third cohomology group in $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}, \mathrm{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$. In this subsection we will focus on p_{12} Type II class, and we shall not bother about p_1, p_2 Type I indices (since this has been already verified in Sec.III B). The test below will verify that we indeed construct lattice model of the nontrivial SPT of the p_{12} class with $p_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$.

We shall formulate the unitary operator $S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})} \cdot S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})}$ as the matrix product operators(MPO) as in Eq.(34), below we use the analogous notations as appeared in AppendixA 1. We propose the tensor $T(g)$ of Eq.(34) with Type I, II indices for Z_{N_1} symmetry (thus $g = \frac{2\pi k_1}{N_1}$ with $k_1 \in Z_{N_1}$) to be,

$$(T^{\phi_{in}^{(1)},\phi_{out}^{(1)},\phi_{in}^{(2)},\phi_{out}^{(2)}})^{(p_1,p_{12})}_{\varphi_\alpha^{(1)},\varphi_\beta^{(1)},\varphi_\alpha^{(2)},\varphi_\beta^{(2)},N_1}(\frac{2\pi k_1}{N_1}) = \delta(\phi_{out}^{(1)} - \phi_{in}^{(1)} - \frac{2\pi k_1}{N_1})\delta(\phi_{out}^{(2)} - \phi_{in}^{(2)}) \quad \text{(A16)}$$
$$\cdot \int d\varphi_\alpha^{(1)} d\varphi_\beta^{(1)} |\varphi_\beta^{(1)}\rangle\langle\varphi_\alpha^{(1)}| \delta(\varphi_\beta^{(1)} - \phi_{in}^{(1)}) e^{\mathrm{i}p_1 k_1 (\varphi_\alpha^{(1)} - \phi_{in}^{(1)})_r/N_1} \cdot \int d\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^{(2)} d\tilde{\varphi}_\beta^{(2)} |\tilde{\varphi}_\beta^{(2)}\rangle\langle\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^{(2)}| \delta(\tilde{\varphi}_\beta^{(2)} - \tilde{\phi}_{in}^{(2)}) e^{\mathrm{i}p_{12} k_1 (\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^{(2)} - \tilde{\phi}_{in}^{(2)})_r/N_1}.$$

Similarly, we have $(T^{\phi_{in}^{(1)},\phi_{out}^{(1)},\phi_{in}^{(2)},\phi_{out}^{(2)}})^{(p_2,p_{21})}_{\varphi_\alpha^{(1)},\varphi_\beta^{(1)},\varphi_\alpha^{(2)},\varphi_\beta^{(2)},N_2}(\frac{2\pi k_2}{N_2})$ by switching the Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}indices in Eq.(A16) by $1 \leftrightarrow 2$. Here the tilde notation, for example on $\tilde{\phi}^{(2)}$, means that the variables are in units of $\frac{2\pi}{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$, but not in $\frac{2\pi}{N_2}$ unit. We can verify the tensor T by computing $\mathcal{S}_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})}$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})} = \sum_{\{j,j'\}} \mathrm{tr}[T^{\phi_{in}^1,\phi_{out}^1\cdots}_{\varphi_{\alpha_1}\varphi_{\alpha_2}\cdots} T^{\phi_{in}^2,\phi_{out}^2\cdots}_{\varphi_{\alpha_2}\varphi_{\alpha_3}\cdots} \cdots T^{\phi_{in}^M,\phi_{out}^M\cdots}_{\varphi_{\alpha_M}\varphi_{\alpha_1}\cdots}] |\phi_{out}^1, \phi_{out}^2, \ldots, \phi_{out}^M\rangle\langle\phi_{in}^1, \phi_{in}^2, \ldots, \phi_{in}^M| \quad \text{(A17)}$$

$$= \prod_j e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi L_j^{(1)}/N_1} \cdot \exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p_1}{N_1}(\phi_{1,j+1} - \phi_{1,j})_r] \cdot \exp[\mathrm{i}\frac{p_{12}}{N_1}(\tilde{\phi}_{2,j+1} - \tilde{\phi}_{2,j})_r] \quad \text{(A18)}$$

with the condensed notation

$$\phi_{in}^j = (\phi_{in}^{j(1)}, \phi_{in}^{j(2)}), \quad \phi_{out}^j = (\phi_{out}^{j(1)}, \phi_{out}^{j(2)}), \quad \varphi_{\alpha j} = (\varphi_{\alpha j}^{(1)}, \varphi_{\alpha j}^{(2)}). \quad \text{(A19)}$$

Similarly, we have $\mathcal{S}_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})}$ as Eq.(A17) by switching the Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}indices in as Eq.(A17) by $1 \leftrightarrow 2$. To find out the projective representation $e^{i\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ of three tensors

$T(g_a), T(g_b), T(g_c)$ acting on three neighbored sites, we follow the fact in Eq.(A8), and derive the Type I, II projection operator

$$P^{(p)}_{N_1,N_2} \equiv P^{(p)}_{N_1,N_2,(m_a^{(1)},m_b^{(1)}),(m_a^{(2)},m_b^{(2)})} \tag{A20}$$

$$= \int d\phi'^{(1)}_{in} d\phi'^{(2)}_{in} (|\phi'^{(1)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi m_b^{(1)}}{N_1}\rangle|\phi'^{(1)}_{in}\rangle\langle\phi'^{(1)}_{in}|)(|\phi'^{(2)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi m_b^{(2)}}{N_2}\rangle|\phi'^{(2)}_{in}\rangle\langle\phi'^{(2)}_{in}|)$$
$$\cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_1\phi'^{(1)}_{in}\left([m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)}]_{N1}-(m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)})\right)/N_1} \cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_2\phi'^{(1)}_{in}\left([m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)}]_{N2}-(m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})\right)/N_2}$$
$$\cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_{21}(\tilde{\phi'}^{(1)}_{in})_r\left([m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)}]_{N_2}-(m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})\right)/N_2} \cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_{12}(\tilde{\phi'}^{(2)}_{in})_r\left([m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)}]_{N_1}-(m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)})\right)/N_1}, \tag{A21}$$

where $[m_a + m_b]_N$ with subindex N means taking the value module N. Similar to Eq.(A9), P_{g_1,g_2} inputs one state $\langle\phi'^{(1)}_{in}|\langle\phi'^{(2)}_{in}|$ and outputs two states $(|\phi'^{(1)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi m_b^{(1)}}{N_1}\rangle|\phi'^{(1)}_{in}\rangle)(|\phi'^{(2)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi m_b^{(2)}}{N_2}\rangle|\phi'^{(2)}_{in}\rangle)$. To derive the projective phase $e^{i\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$, we start by contracting $T(g_b)$ and $T(g_c)$ firstly, and then the combined tensor contracts with $T(g_a)$ gives:

$$(I_1 \otimes P_{g_b,g_c})P_{g_a,g_bg_c}\cdot \tag{A22}$$

$$= \int d\phi''^{(1)}_{in} d\phi''^{(2)}_{in} (|\phi''^{(1)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi(m_b^{(1)}+m_c^{(1)})}{N_1}\rangle_a|\phi''^{(1)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi m_c^{(1)}}{N_1}\rangle_b|\phi''^{(1)}_{in}\rangle_c\langle\phi''^{(1)}_{in}|_{abc})$$
$$\cdot(|\phi''^{(2)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi(m_b^{(2)}+m_c^{(2)})}{N_2}\rangle_a|\phi''^{(2)}_{in} + \frac{2\pi m_c^{(2)}}{N_2}\rangle_b|\phi''^{(2)}_{in}\rangle_c\langle\phi''^{(2)}_{in}|_{abc})$$
$$\cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_1\phi''^{(1)}_{in}\left((m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)}+m_c^{(1)})_{N1}-m_a^{(1)}-m_b^{(1)}-m_c^{(1)}\right)/N_1} \cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_2\phi''^{(2)}_{in}\left((m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)}+m_c^{(2)})_{N2}-m_a^{(2)}-m_b^{(2)}-m_c^{(2)}\right)/N_2}$$
$$\cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_{21}(\tilde{\phi''}^{(1)}_{in})_r\left((m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)}+m_c^{(2)})_{N_2}-m_a^{(2)}-m_b^{(2)}-m_c^{(2)}\right)/N_2} \cdot e^{\mathrm{i}p_{12}(\tilde{\phi''}^{(2)}_{in})_r\left((m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)}+m_c^{(1)})_{N_1}-m_a^{(1)}-m_b^{(1)}-m_c^{(1)}\right)/N_1} \tag{A23}$$

which inputs one state $\langle\phi_{in}|$ and outputs three states $|\phi_{in} + \frac{2\pi}{N}(m_b + m_c)\rangle$, $|\phi_{in} + \frac{2\pi}{N}m_c\rangle$ and $|\phi_{in}\rangle$. Similarly we can derive $(P_{g_a,g_b} \otimes I_3)P_{g_ag_b,g_c}$ by contracting $T(g_a)$ and $T(g_b)$ firstly, and then the combined tensor contracts with $T(g_c)$. By computing Eq.(A3) with only p_{21} index (i.e. setting $p_1 = p_2 = p_{12} = 0$), we can recover Type II 3-cocycle in Eq.(11),

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)} = e^{\mathrm{i}p_{21}(\frac{2\pi m_c^{(1)}}{N_1})\left((m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})_{N_2}-(m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})\right)/N_2}$$
$$= \omega^{(ij)}_{\mathrm{II}}(m_c, m_a, m_b) \tag{A24}$$

up to the index redefinition $p_{21} \to -p_{12}$. We thus derive that the projective representation $e^{i\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ from MPS tensors corresponds to the group cohomology approach.[1] From here we learn that the inserted p_{12} and p_{21} are indeed the same indices because $e^{\mathrm{i}p_{21}(\frac{2\pi m_c^{(1)}}{N_1})\left((m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})_{N_2}-(m_a^{(2)}+m_b^{(2)})\right)/N_2}$ and $e^{\mathrm{i}p_{12}(\frac{2\pi m_c^{(2)}}{N_2})\left((m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)})_{N_1}-(m_a^{(1)}+m_b^{(1)})\right)/N_1}$ are equivalent 3-cocycles up to 3-coboundaries,[31] meanwhile $p_{12} = p_{12} \bmod \gcd(N_1, N_2)$. This demonstrates that our lattice construction fulfills all $\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}$ Type II classes of SPT with $Z_{N_1} \times Z_{N_2}$-symmetry, and also Type I $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}, \mathbb{Z}_{N_2}$ classes as we desired.

3. Type III class-

We first motivate our construction of matrix product operators by observing that Type III 3-cocycle in Eq.(50) inputs, for example, $a_1 \in Z_{N_1}$, $b_2 \in Z_{N_2}$, $c_3 \in Z_{N_3}$ and outputs a U(1) phase. This implies that the Z_{N_1} symmetry transformation will affect the mixed Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} rotor models, while similarly Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} global symmetry will cause the same effect. This observation guides us to write down the tensor $T(g)$ and the symmetry transformation $S^{(p)}_N = S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}$ defined in Sec.III B. We propose the tensor $T(g)$ as

$$(T^{\phi^{(1)}_{in},\phi^{(1)}_{out},\phi^{(2)}_{in},\phi^{(2)}_{out},\phi^{(3)}_{in},\phi^{(3)}_{out}})^{(p_{123})}_{\varphi^{(1)}_\alpha,\varphi^{(1)}_\beta,\varphi^{(2)}_\alpha,\varphi^{(2)}_\beta,\varphi^{(3)}_\alpha,\varphi^{(3)}_\beta,N_1,N_2,N_3}(\frac{2\pi k_1}{N_1},\frac{2\pi k_2}{N_2},\frac{2\pi k_3}{N_3})$$

$$= \prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} \int d\varphi^{(u)}_\alpha |\phi^{(u)}_{in}\rangle\langle\varphi^{(u)}_\alpha| \exp[ip_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}k_u \frac{(\varphi^{(v)}_\alpha \phi^{(w)}_{in})_r}{N_u}\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}] \cdot |\phi^{(u)}_{out}\rangle\langle\phi^{(u)}_{in}| \tag{A25}$$

We can compute the symmetry transformation $S^{(p)}_N = S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}$ by substituting $T(g)$ into Eq.(A1):

- $$S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3} = \prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} e^{i\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}\frac{p_{123}}{N_u}\left(\sum_{j=1}^M \epsilon^{uvw}(\phi^{j+1,(v)}_{in}\phi^{j,(w)}_{in})\right)} |\dots,\phi^{j(u)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N_u},\dots\rangle\langle\dots,\phi^{j(u)}_{in},\dots| \tag{A26}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^M W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1} \cdot \prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^M e^{i2\pi L^{(u)}_j/N}\Big). \tag{A27}$$

We have a onsite symmetry transformation piece $e^{i2\pi L^{(u)}_j/N}$ as usual (which shifts the rotor angle by $\frac{2\pi}{N_u}$ unit, from $|\phi^{j(u)}_{in}\rangle$ to $|\phi^{j(u)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi}{N_u}\rangle$), and also a non-onsite symmetry transformation $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}$. In the next, we will show this can be regularized on the lattice in terms of Z_N variables. Therefore we will justify our lattice construction.

Lattice Regularization

We derive the non-onsite symmetry transformation $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}$, acting on the site j and $j+1$ as:

- $$W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1} = \prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} \exp\Big(\mathrm{i}\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}\epsilon^{uvw}\frac{p_{123}}{N_u}\big(\phi^{j+1,(v)}_{in}\phi^{j,(w)}_{in}\big)\Big) \tag{A28}$$

$$= \prod_{(v,w)=(2,3),(3,1),(1,2)} e^{\mathrm{i}p_{123}\left((\phi^{j+1,(v)}_{in}-\phi^{j,(v)}_{in})\phi^{j,(w)}_{in}-(\phi^{j+1,(w)}_{in}-\phi^{j,(w)}_{in})\phi^{j,(v)}_{in}\right)\frac{N_vN_w}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}} \tag{A29}$$

$$= \prod_{(v,w)=(2,3),(3,1),(1,2)} \Big((\sigma^{(v)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(v)}_{j+1})^{\phi^{j,(w)}_{in}}((\sigma^{(w)}_j\sigma^{(w)\dagger}_{j+1})^{\phi^{j,(v)}_{in}})\Big)^{p_{123}\frac{N_vN_w}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}} \tag{A30}$$

$$= \boxed{\prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} \Big(\sigma^{(v)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(v)}_{j+1}\Big)^{\epsilon^{uvw}p_{123}\frac{\log(\sigma^{(w)}_j)N_vN_w}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}} \tag{A31}$$

$$\equiv W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1}\cdot W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_2}\cdot W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_3} \tag{A32}$$

where we separate Z_{N_1},Z_{N_2},Z_{N_3} non-onsite symmetry transformation to $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1}$,$W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_2}$,$W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_3}$ respectively. More explicitly, we have Z_{N_1} non-onsite symmetry transformation:

$$W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1} = e^{\mathrm{i}p_{123}\left((\phi^{j+1,(2)}_{in}-\phi^{j,(2)}_{in})\phi^{j,(3)}_{in}-(\phi^{j+1,(3)}_{in}-\phi^{j,(3)}_{in})\phi^{j,(2)}_{in}\right)\frac{N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}} \tag{A33}$$

$$= \Big((\sigma^\dagger_{2,j}\sigma_{2,j+1})^{\log(\sigma_{3,j})}((\sigma_{3,j}\sigma^\dagger_{3,j+1})^{\log(\sigma_{2,j})})\Big)^{p_{123}\frac{N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}, \tag{A34}$$

and $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_2}$,$W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_3}$ have the analogous forms. We first attempt to regularize this $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}$ operator by defining

$$\phi^{j,(u)}_{in} \equiv \log(\sigma_{u,j}) = \log[\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&\omega_u&0&0\\0&0&\ddots&0\\0&0&0&\omega_u^{N_u-1}\end{pmatrix}]_j = \begin{pmatrix}\log[1]&0&0&0\\0&\log[\omega_u]&0&0\\0&0&\ddots&0\\0&0&0&\log[\omega_u^{N_u-1}]\end{pmatrix}_j = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&\frac{2\pi}{N_u}&0&0\\0&0&\ddots&0\\0&0&0&\frac{2\pi(N_u-1)}{N_u}\end{pmatrix}_j \tag{A35}$$

here $u\in\{1,2,3\}$. The challenge of the lattice regularization is to understand what exactly does this operator $(\sigma^\dagger_{v,j}\sigma_{v,j+1})^{p_{123}\frac{\log(\sigma_{w,j})N_vN_w}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}$ in Eq.(52) mean on the lattice? Without losing generality, let us take

$\left((\sigma^\dagger_{v,j}\sigma_{2,j+1})^{\log(\sigma_{3,j})}\right)^{p_{123}\frac{N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}$ in $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1}$ of Eq.(A33) as an example, The answer to this question is that we should view how this operator acts on the combined $Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}$ states: $|\phi^{(2)}\rangle\otimes|\phi^{(3)}\rangle$. The $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1}$ operator is a $((N_2)^2\times(N_3)^2)\times((N_2)^2\times(N_3)^2)$-component matrix acting on the $(N_2)^2\times(N_3)^2$-dimensional Hilbert space spanned by the all $|\phi^{(2)}_j\rangle\otimes|\phi^{(2)}_{j+1}\rangle\otimes|\phi^{(3)}_j\rangle\otimes|\phi^{(3)}_{j+1}\rangle$ states at the site j and $j+1$. The key is regularizing this operator $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1}$ explicitly, using Eq.(A35) as

$$(\sigma^{(2)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(2)}_{j+1})^{\frac{p_{123}\log(\sigma_{3,j})N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}=\begin{pmatrix}(\sigma^{(2)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(2)}_{j+1})^{\log[1]} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & (\sigma^{(2)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(2)}_{j+1})^{\log[\omega_3]} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\sigma^{(2)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(2)}_{j+1})^{\log[\omega_3^{N_3-1}]}\end{pmatrix}_j^{\frac{p_{123}N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}} \tag{A36}$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix}(\sigma^{(2)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(2)}_{j+1})^{0} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & (\sigma^{(2)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(2)}_{j+1})^{\frac{p_{123}N_2}{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\sigma^{(2)\dagger}_j\sigma^{(2)}_{j+1})^{\frac{p_{123}N_2(N_3-1)}{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}\end{pmatrix}_j \tag{A37}$$

We emphasize that each sub-block involving $(\sigma^\dagger_{2,j}\sigma_{2,j+1})$ is a $(N_2)^2\times(N_2)^2$-component matrix. (Here $\sigma_{2,j+1}$ is a $N_2\times N_2$-component matrix.) There are totally $N_3\times N_3$ sub-blocks. We recall that σ_2 are operators defined in this manner in Eq.(12), i.e. $\sigma_2\sim e^{i\phi^{(2)}}$, with $\phi^{(2)}$ a Z_{N_2} variable. Thus, the operator in each sub-block has the form

$$(W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1})\ \sim\ \left((\sigma^\dagger_{2,j}\sigma_{2,j+1})^{2\pi n_3/N_3}((\sigma_{3,j}\sigma^\dagger_{3,j+1})^{2\pi n_2/N_2})\right)^{\frac{p_{123}}{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}\frac{N_2N_3}{2\pi}} \tag{A38}$$

$$=\ \left((\sigma^\dagger_{2,j}\sigma_{2,j+1})^{n_3N_2}((\sigma_{3,j}\sigma^\dagger_{3,j+1})^{n_2N_3})\right)^{\frac{p_{123}}{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}} \tag{A39}$$

The notation n_u(above $u=2$ or 3) denotes an integer which corresponds to the Z_{N_u} values for $|\phi^{(u)}=n_u(2\pi/N_u)\rangle$ state in different sub-blocks. First, we notice that p_{123} is identified by $p_{123}=p_{123}$ mod $\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)$. In addition, when p_{123} is a multiple of $\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)$, we have $(W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1})=1$ (here 1 really means $\mathbb{1}_{N_2\times N_2,j}\otimes\mathbb{1}_{N_2\times N_2,j+1}\otimes\mathbb{1}_{N_3\times N_3,j}\otimes\mathbb{1}_{N_3\times N_3,j+1}$, the identity operator of Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3} states on sites $j,j+1$). When p_{123} is not a multiple of $\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)$, our lattice construction represents a nontrivial non-onsite symmetry transformation ($W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}\neq 1$), thus produces a nontrivial SPT labeled by $p_{123}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}$. We may expect to full-regularize Eq.(A37), we need to solve constraint $(W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1})^{N_1}$ analogous to Eq.(16),(26). But we do not have to: the exponent in Eq.(A37) is already an integer, e.g. $\frac{p_{123}N_2n_3}{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}$ is necessarily an integer. We note that, as we expected, when $p_{123}=\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)$, we have $(W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1})^{N_1}=1$; when $p_{123}\neq\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)$, we have $(W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1})^{N_1}\neq 1$. Therefore, we had shown Eq.(A37) as the full-regularized Z_{N_2} operator acting on the $Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}$ states.

It is straightforward to apply the above $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1}$ discussion to $S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}$, $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}$ of Eq.(51),Eq.(52). We should just regard $S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}$, $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}$ as operators acting on the Hilbert space with $Z_{N_1}\times Z_{N_2}\times Z_{N_3}$ states. We can show that all terms in $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_1}\cdot W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_2}\cdot W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1;N_3}$ can be regularized in the same way. So we are lucky that for Type III classes we do not have to solve any constraints like Eq.(16),(26) of Type I, II classes, whence **the Type III non-onsite symmetry transformation is already regularized in Eq.(51)** (by using Eq.(A37)).

Matrix Product Operators and Cocycles

Below we calculate in details on Type III analog of Eq.(A3) to derive the nontrivial projective phase in MPO formalism, equivalent to the Type III 3-cocycles Eq.(50). We use the fact that

$$P^\dagger_{g_a,g_b}T(g_a)T(g_b)P_{g_a,g_b}=T(g_a\cdot g_b) \tag{A40}$$

to derive the projection tensor P_{g_a,g_b},

$$P^{(p)}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}\equiv P^{(p)}_{N_1,N_2,N_3,(m^{(1)}_a,m^{(1)}_b),(m^{(2)}_a,m^{(2)}_b),(m^{(3)}_a,m^{(3)}_b)} \tag{A41}$$

$$=\prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}}\int d\phi'^{(u)}_{in}(|\phi'^{(u)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m^{(u)}_b}{N_1}\rangle|\phi'^{(u)}_{in}\rangle\langle\phi'^{(u)}_{in}|)\cdot e^{i2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}\phi'^{(u)}_{in}\left(\frac{m^{(v)}_a}{N_v}\frac{m^{(w)}_b}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}$$

Similar to Eq.(A9), P_{g_1,g_2} inputs one state $\langle\phi'^{(1)}_{in}|\langle\phi'^{(2)}_{in}|\langle\phi'^{(3)}_{in}|$ and outputs two states $(|\phi'^{(1)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m_b^{(1)}}{N_1}\rangle|\phi'^{(1)}_{in}\rangle)(|\phi'^{(2)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m_b^{(2)}}{N_2}\rangle|\phi'^{(2)}_{in}\rangle)(|\phi'^{(3)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m_b^{(3)}}{N_3}\rangle|\phi'^{(2)}_{in}\rangle)$. For $(I_1\otimes P_{g_b,g_c})P_{g_a,g_bg_c}$, we start by contracting $T(g_b)$ and $T(g_c)$ firstly, and then the combined tensor contracts with $T(g_a)$ gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(I_1\otimes P_{g_b,g_c})P_{g_a,g_bg_c} \qquad\qquad (A42)\\
&=\prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}}\int d\phi''^{(u)}_{in}(|\phi''^{(u)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m_b^{(u)}}{N_u}+\frac{2\pi m_c^{(u)}}{N_u}\rangle_a|\phi''^{(u)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m_c^{(u)}}{N_u}\rangle_b|\phi''^{(u)}_{in}\rangle_c\langle\phi''^{(u)}_{in}|_{abc})\\
&\cdot e^{i2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}\phi''^{(u)}_{in}\left(\frac{m_b^{(v)}}{N_v}\frac{m_c^{(w)}}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In Eq.(A42), we have dropped an extra factor $e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}\phi''^{(u)}_{in}\left(\frac{m_a^{(v)}}{N_v}\frac{[m_b^{(w)}+m_c^{(w)}]_{N_w}}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}=1$, because we are dealing with Z_N variables so the module relation renders the factor to be always trivial as 1.

On the other hand, to derive $(P_{a,b}\otimes I_3)P_{ab,c}$, we start by contracting $T(g_a)$ and $T(g_b)$ firstly, and then the combined tensor contracts with $T(g_c)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P_{a,b}\otimes I_3)P_{ab,c}\quad &=\int\prod_{s=1,2,3}d\phi''^{(s)}_{in}(|\phi''^{(s)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m_b^{(s)}}{N_s}+\frac{2\pi m_c^{(s)}}{N_s}\rangle_a|\phi''^{(s)}_{in}+\frac{2\pi m_c^{(s)}}{N_s}\rangle_b|\phi''^{(s)}_{in}\rangle_c\langle\phi''^{(s)}_{in}|_{abc}) \qquad (A43)\\
&\cdot e^{i2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}(\frac{2\pi m_c^{(u)}}{N_u})\left(\frac{m_a^{(v)}}{N_v}\frac{m_b^{(w)}}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}\cdot e^{i2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}\phi''^{(u)}_{in}\left(\frac{m_a^{(v)}}{N_v}\frac{m_b^{(w)}}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In Eq.(A43), we have dropped an extra factor $e^{i2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}\phi''^{(u)}_{in}\left(\frac{[m_a^{(v)}+m_b^{(v)}]_{N_v}}{N_v}\frac{m_c^{(w)}}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}=1$, because we are dealing with Z_N variables so the module relation renders the factor to be always trivial as 1. Compare to Eq.(A3), we can derive $e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_a,g_b,g_c)}$ in Eq.(A44).

Adjust p_{123} index (i.e. setting Eq.(A25)'s $p_{123}\to p_{123}/2$, $p_{213}=p_{312}=0$), and compute Eq.(A3) with only p_{123} index, we can recover the projective phase reveals Type III 3-cocycle in Eq.(50),

$$
e^{\mathrm{i}\theta(g_1,g_2,g_3)}=e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi p_{123}\epsilon^{uvw}\left(\frac{m_c^{(u)}}{N_u}\frac{m_a^{(v)}}{N_v}\frac{m_b^{(w)}}{N_w}\right)\frac{N_1N_2N_3}{\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}}\simeq\omega^{(uvw)}_{\mathrm{III}}(m_c,m_a,m_b). \qquad (A44)
$$

Appendix B: Twisted Hamiltonian and Twisted Non-Onsite Symmetry Transformation

Type II

We can adopt the discussion in Sec.VII on the twisted translation operator $\tilde{T}^{(p)}$ and the twisted symmetry transformation $S_N^{(p)}$ to Type II symmetry class. What we will focus on is the indices p_{12} and p_{21} of Eq.(19), (21). We will set $p_1=p_2=0$ for the sake of simplicity. With this assumption, we can adjust the non-onsite symmetry transformation $U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{j,j+2}\to U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{j,j+1}$ (from NNN to NN), also from $U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{j,j+2}\to U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{j,j+1}$. Here we explicitly indicates that $U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{j,j+1}$, $U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{j,j+1}$ are polynomial functions of $(\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)\dagger}_j\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_{j+1})$, $(\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)\dagger}_j\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_{j+1})$ respectively, with $\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}$ carefully being defined in Eq.(A14), (A15). The two principles addressed in Sec.VII for Type I still valid. The first principle becomes defining the twisted symmetry transformation

- $\tilde{S}^{(p_{12})}_{N_1} \equiv (\tilde{T}^{(p_{12})}_{N_1})^M = S^{(p_{12})}_{N_1} \cdot \big(U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{M,1}[\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)\dagger}_M \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_1]\big)^{-1} \cdot U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{M,1}[\omega_{12}\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)\dagger}_M \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_1]$, (B1)
- $\tilde{S}^{(p_{21})}_{N_2} \equiv (\tilde{T}^{(p_{21})}_{N_2})^M = S^{(p_{21})}_{N_2} \cdot \big(U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{M,1}[\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)\dagger}_M \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_1]\big)^{-1} \cdot U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{M,1}[\omega_{21}\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)\dagger}_M \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_1]$. (B2)

with some unitary twisted translation operator $\tilde{T}^{(p_{12})}_{N_1}$, $\tilde{T}^{(p_{21})}_{N_2}$, where the $\tilde{S}^{(p_{12})}_{N_1}$ incorporating a Z_{N_1} flux at the branch cut, while the $\tilde{S}^{(p_{21})}_{N_2}$ incorporating a Z_{N_2} flux at the branch cut. Here we insert $\omega_{12} \equiv \omega_{21} \equiv e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\gcd(N_1,N_2)}}$ into the non-onsite symmetry transformation $U_{M,1}$ at the M-th and the 1-st sites to capture the branch cut physics as Fig.11. The twisted lattice translation operators solved from Eq.(B1),(B2) are

$$\tilde{T}^{(p_{12})}_{N_1} = T \cdot U^{(N_1,p_{12})}_{M,1}[\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)\dagger}_M \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}_1] \cdot \tau^{(1)}_1, \quad \text{(B3)}$$
$$\tilde{T}^{(p_{21})}_{N_2} = T \cdot U^{(N_2,p_{21})}_{M,1}[\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)\dagger}_M \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_1] \cdot \tau^{(2)}_1. \quad \text{(B4)}$$

The second principle is that the twisted Hamiltonian is invariant respect to twisted translation operators $\tilde{T}$, thus also invariant respect to $\tilde{S}$, i.e.

- $[\tilde{H}^{(p)}_N, \tilde{T}^{(p_{12})}_{N_1}] = [\tilde{H}^{(p)}_N, \tilde{S}^{(p_{12})}_{N_1}]$
  $= [\tilde{H}^{(p)}_N, \tilde{T}^{(p_{21})}_{N_2}] = [\tilde{H}^{(p)}_N, \tilde{S}^{(p_{21})}_{N_2}] = 0.$ (B5)

Twisted Hamiltonian

The twisted Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}^{(p_1,p_2,p_{12})}_{N_1,N_2}$ for Type I, II can be readily constructed from $H^{(p_1,p_2,p_{12})}_{N_1,N_2}$ of Eq. (33), with the condition in Eq.(91), Eq.(B5).

Type III

We follow the same principles to explore the Type III twisted sectors with a flux insertion (or branch cut). We will focus on Type III class with $p_{123} \neq 0$, and other Type I, II class indices are zeros. The first principle suggests that a string of M units of *twisted translation operator* $\tilde{T}^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}$ modifies Eq.(51)'s $S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}$ to a *twisted symmetry transformation* $\tilde{S}^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3} \equiv (\tilde{T}^{(p_{123})}_{N_1})^M \cdot (\tilde{T}^{(p_{123})}_{N_2})^M \cdot (\tilde{T}^{(p_{123})}_{N_3})^M$ incorporating a $Z_{N_1}, Z_{N_2}, Z_{N_3}$ unit flux respectively by,

- $\tilde{S}^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3} = S^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3} \cdot \big(W^{\rm III}_{M,1}[\sigma^{(v)\dagger}_M \sigma^{(v)}_1]\big)^{-1} \cdot W^{\rm III}_{M,1}[\omega_{123}\, \sigma^{(v)\dagger}_M \sigma^{(v)}_1]$ (B6)

where the non-onsite symmetry transformation part $W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1} \equiv W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}[\sigma^\dagger_{v,j}\sigma_{v,j+1}]$ is defined in Eq.(52) as a polynomial of $\sigma^\dagger_{v,j}\sigma_{v,j+1}$, and its ω_{123} insertion

$$W^{\rm III}_{j,j+1}[\omega_{123}\, \sigma^{(v)\dagger}_j \sigma^{(v)}_{j+1}] \equiv \prod_{u,v,w\in\{1,2,3\}} \epsilon^{uvw} \Big(\omega_{123}\, \sigma^{(v)\dagger}_j \sigma^{(v)}_{j+1}\Big)^{p_{123}\frac{\log(\sigma^{(w)}_j)N_vN_v}{2\pi\,\gcd(N_1,N_2,N_3)}} \quad \text{(B7)}$$

captures the Z_{N_u} unit flux effect by the branch cut. (In Appendix.A 3, we show that Eq.(B6) is regularized on the lattice.) Adopted the notation in Eq.(52), the twisted lattice translation operator solved from Eq.(B6) is

$$\tilde{T}^{(p_{123})}_{N_u} = T \cdot W^{\rm III}_{M,1}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(v)\dagger}_M \tilde{\sigma}^{(v)}_1) \cdot \tau^{(u)}_1, \quad \text{(B8)}$$

here $u, v, w \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

The second principle is that the twisted Hamiltonian is invariant respect to twisted translation operators, thus also invariant respect to twisted symmetry transformations,

- $[\tilde{H}^{(p)}_N, \tilde{T}^{(p_{123})}_{N_u}] = 0, \;\; [\tilde{H}^{(p)}_N, \tilde{S}^{(p_{123})}_{N_1,N_2,N_3}] = 0.$ (B9)

Based on Eq.(B9), it is straightforward to construct Type III twisted Hamiltonian in terms of Eq.(55).

* Electronic address: juven@mit.edu

[1] X. Chen, Z. -C. Gu, Z. -X. Liu and X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 155114 (2013) [arXiv:1106.4772 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[2] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Science 338,

1604 (2012) [arXiv:1301.0861]
[3] F. D. M. Haldane, Physics Letters A **93**, 464 (1983)
[4] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, Commun. Math. Phys. **115**, 477 (1988)
[5] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 226801 (2005),
[6] B. A. Bernevig and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 106802 (2006)
[7] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005),
[8] J. E. Moore and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 121306 (2007),
[9] L. Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 106803 (2007),
[10] X.-L. Qi, T. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195424 (2008),
[11] A. Mesaros and Y. Ran, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 155115 (2013).
[12] M. Levin and Z. -C. Gu, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 115109 (2012) [arXiv:1202.3120 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[13] X. Chen, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B , 84, 235141 (2011)
[14] X. Chen and X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 235135 (2012) [arXiv:1206.3117 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[15] L. H. Santos and Juven Wang, arXiv:1310.8291 [quant-ph].
[16] Y. -M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 125119 (2012) [arXiv:1205.3156 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[17] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. X **3**, 011016 (2013).
[18] P. Ye and Juven Wang, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 235109 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3695 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[19] Z. Bi, A. Rasmussen and C. Xu, arXiv:1309.0515 [cond-mat.str-el].
[20] A. M. Essin and M. Hermele, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 104406 (2013).
[21] P. Ye and X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 045127 (2014) [arXiv:1303.3572 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[22] R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **129**, 393 (1990).
[23] Z. -C. Gu and X. -G. Wen, arXiv:1201.2648
[24] X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 045013 (2013) [arXiv:1303.1803 [hep-th]].
[25] F. J. Burnell, X. Chen, L. Fidkowski and A. Vishwanath, arXiv:1302.7072 [cond-mat.str-el].
[26] M.A. Metlitski, C.L. Kane, M.P.A. Fisher, arXiv:1306.3286 [cond-mat.str-el].
[27] C.Wang, A.C. Potter, T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 115137 (2013)
[28] P. Bonderson, C. Nayak and X. -L. Qi, J. Stat. Mech. **2013**, P09016 (2013).
[29] J. Goldstone and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 986 (1981).
[30] X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 035147 (2014) [arXiv:1301.7675 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[31] M. de Wild Propitius, Nucl. Phys. B **489**, 297 (1997) [hep-th/9606029].
[32] If we use the field variables ϕ_1,ϕ_1',ϕ_2,ϕ_2', following the lattice operator relations between $\tilde{\sigma}_j^{(u)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(u)}$ with the commutation relation in Eq.(44), basically we have $\phi_u \simeq \frac{N_{12}}{N_u}\tilde{\phi}_u$ and $\phi_u' \simeq \frac{N_u}{N_{12}}\tilde{\phi}_u'$, the symmetry transformation opeators becomes $S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})} \simeq \exp[\frac{i}{N_1}(\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_1' + p_1\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_1 + 0\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_2' + p_{12}\frac{N_2}{N_{12}}\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_2)]$ and $S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})} \simeq \exp[\frac{i}{N_2}(0\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_1' + p_{21}\frac{N_1}{N_{12}}\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_1 + 1\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_2' + p_2\int_0^L dx\,\partial_x\phi_2)]$, thus they transform the fields by

$$S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})}\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1(x)\\ \phi_1'(x)\\ \phi_2(x)\\ \phi_2'(x)\end{pmatrix}(S_{N_1}^{(p_1,p_{12})})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}\phi_1(x)\\ \phi_1'(x)\\ \phi_2(x)\\ \phi_2'(x)\end{pmatrix} + \frac{2\pi}{N_1}\begin{pmatrix}1\\ p_1\\ 0\\ \frac{N_2}{N_{12}}p_{12}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{B10}$$

$$S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})}\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1(x)\\ \phi_1'(x)\\ \phi_2(x)\\ \phi_2'(x)\end{pmatrix}(S_{N_2}^{(p_2,p_{21})})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}\phi_1(x)\\ \phi_1'(x)\\ \phi_2(x)\\ \phi_2'(x)\end{pmatrix} + \frac{2\pi}{N_2}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \frac{N_1}{N_{12}}p_{21}\\ 1\\ p_2\end{pmatrix}. \tag{B11}$$

This result in principle can still capture the correct physics quantity. But the true punch line is that one should follow our fully-lattice-regularized set-up, while regarding our field theory approach *only* as an effective tool to easily compute observables.
[33] Meng Cheng and Zheng-Cheng Gu, arXiv:1302.4803v1.
[34] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 3398 (1976).
[35] L. -Y. Hung and X. -G. Wen, arXiv:1211.2767 [cond-mat.str-el].
[36] L. -Y. Hung and X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 075121 (2014) [arXiv:1311.5539 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[37] Y. Hu, Y. Wan and Y. -S. Wu, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 125114 (2013) [arXiv:1211.3695 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[38] M. P. Zaletel, arXiv:1309.7387
[39] M. Henkel, *Conformal Invariance and Critical Phenomena*, (Springer, 1999)
[40] O. M. Sule, X. Chen and S. Ryu, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 075125 (2013) [arXiv:1305.0700 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[41] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, arXiv:1403.0617 [hep-th].
[42] A. Kapustin, arXiv:1403.1467 [cond-mat.str-el].
[43] G.Y. Cho, J.C. Teo, S. Ryu arXiv:1403.2018
[44] Z.-X. Liu, X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 067205 (2013)
[45] M. Koch-Janusz, Z. Ringel, Phys. Rev. B 89, 075137 (2014)
[46] Juven Wang and X.-G. Wen, arXiv:1307.7480
[47] One may ask that, under Z_{N_1} symmetry-preserving flux insertion or monodromy defect, should the Type III bosonic edge states keep gapless instead of gapped with N_{123}-fold degeneracy? We clarify that N_{123}-fold degeneracy is due to finite-size effect where we do dimensional reduction so the 1D ring is a small circle (in Fig 7), where the *gapless* feature is manifest only in the thermodynamic limit when 1D ring is a a large circle.
[48] L. Santos, Y. Nishida, C. Chamon and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 104522 (2011) [arXiv:1011.1007 [cond-mat.supr-con]].
[49] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics* (Springer, 1996).
[50] Juven Wang, Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, to appear (2014).